Sqt. Arley Flaherty

1 (Pages 1 to 4)

```
1
                                                                                                                              2
                                                                   1 APPEARANCES:
                                                                   2 DANIEL B. BARROUKH, ESQ.
                  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                                                                     DEREK SMITH LAW GROUP, PLLC
                   SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
                                                                   3 520 BRICKELL KEY DRIVE
                       MIAMI DIVISION
                                                                     SUITE 0-301
                       CASE NO.:1:22-cv-21004-DPG
                                                                   4 MIAMI, FLORIDA 33131-2433
   JESSICA GUASTO,
                                                                     (786) 688-2335
             PLAINTIFF,
                                                                   5 DANIELB@DEREKSMITHLAW.COM
                                                                          COUNSEL APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF.
                                                                   6
   THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FL,
   A FLORIDA MUNICIPALITY.
                                                                   8 MICHAEL L. ELKINS, ESQ.
            DEFENDANT.
                                                                   9 1212 NORTHEAST 16TH TERRACE
                                                                     FORT LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA 33304
     DEPOSITION OF:
                               SGT. ARLEY FLAHERTY
                                                                  10 (954) 401-2608
                                                                     MELKINS@MLELAWFIRM.COM
     DATE:
                               MARCH 28, 2024
                                                                          COUNSEL APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT.
                                                                  11
                                                                  12
     TIME.
                               9:15 A.M. - 10:12 A.M.
                                                                  13
                                                                                           * * * * * * * * *
                                                                  14
     PLACE:
                               VIA ZOOM REMOTE CONFERENCING
                                                                                        STIPULATIONS
                                                                  15
                                                                  16
     REPORTED BY:
                               TIMOFEY GARBUZ
                                                                  17
                                                                               It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and
                               COURT REPORTER
                                                                  18 between counsel for the respective parties, and the
                               NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF FLORIDA
                                                                  19 deponent, that the reading and signing of the deposition
                                                                  20 are hereby reserved.
                                                                  2.2
                                                                  23
                                                                  24
                                                                  25
                                                            3
                                                                                                                              4
                           INDEX
                                                                                  PROCEEDINGS
                                                                    1
2 WITNESS
                                                         PAGE
                                                                    2
                                                                                      * * * *
 3 SGT. ARLEY FLAHERTY
                                                                    3
                                                                             THE REPORTER: Whenever you're ready, Counsel,
 4 Direct Examination by Mr. Elkins
                                                           4
                                                                    4
                                                                          please state your names and whom you represent for the
 5 Cross Examination by Mr. Barroukh
                                                          47
                                                                    5
                                                                          record. And I'll swear in the witness.
 6 Redirect Examination by Mr. Elkins
                                                          49
                                                                    6
                                                                             MR. ELKINS: I'm ready.
                                                                    7
                                                                             THE REPORTER: Go ahead, Counsel. Please state
 8
                        EXHIBITS
                                                                    8
                                                                          your names and whom you represent for the record.
9
   DEPOSITION
                          DESCRIPTION
                                                         PAGE
                                                                    9
                                                                             MR. BARROUKH: All right. Daniel Barroukh for the
   Exhibit Number 1 Memorandum from Clements to
10
                                                          33
                                                                   10
                                                                          plaintiffs.
                      Guasto
                                                                   11
                                                                             MR. ELKINS: Michael Elkins on behalf of the
11
                                                                   12
                                                                          defendant, City of Miami Beach.
12
                                                                   13
                                                                             THE REPORTER: Sergeant, do you swear and affirm
13
                                                                   14
                                                                          that the statements you give in this matter shall be
14
                                                                   15
                                                                          the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth so
15
                                                                   16
                                                                          help you God?
16
                                                                   17
                                                                             THE WITNESS: I swear.
17
                                                                   18
                                                                             THE REPORTER: We may proceed.
18
                                                                   19
                                                                                   SGT. ARLEY FLAHERTY,
19
                                                                   20
                                                                       Having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:
20
                                                                   21
                                                                                   DIRECT EXAMINATION
21
                                                                       BY MR. ELKINS:
                                                                   22
22
                                                                   23
23
                                                                          Q. One second.
                                                                   24
                                                                             Okay. Good morning, Sergeant Flaherty.
24
                                                                   25
                                                                          A. Good morning.
25
```

(Pages 5 to 8)

8

5

- Q. How are you today?
- 2 A. I'm fine. A little tired. Worked last night.
- 3 Q. I appreciate your time in being here.
- 4 Can you just state your full name for the record, 5
- please.

1

- 6 A. Sure. It's Arley Flaherty, A-r-l-e-y. And last
- 7 name is F-l-a-h-e-r-t-y.
- 8 Q. And where are you currently employed?
- 9 A. The Miami Beach Police Department.
- 10 Q. How long have you worked there?
- 11 A. February of this year made 20 years.
- 12 Q. Have you ever been deposed before?
- A. Yes, I have. 13
- 14 Q. On how many times?
- 15 A. Many.
- 16 Q. Enumerable, right?
- 17 A. Yes, yes.
- 18 Q. Let me ask a different question. Maybe it will be
- 19 a little bit clearer. Have you ever been deposed in a civil 20 case?
- 21 A. You know, I don't recall right now.
- 22 Q. Okay. So I presume you've been deposed, like I
- 23 said, enumerable times in criminal cases --
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. - and probably in court for testimony. So this

- 1 is a little bit different. So I'm going to go over just a
- few real simple ground rules that will hopefully allow us to
- get you out of here as quickly as humanly possible, okay? 4
 - A. Okay.
- O. First thing is: We have our court reporter here,
- Tim, who is taking down everything we say. But as great as
- Tim is, he cannot accurately transcribe two people talking
- at the same time.
- 9 And so, while you're probably going to anticipate
- 10 a lot of my questions and be able to answer them quickly, I
- just ask that you kind of hold your breath, let me finish
- the question, and then answer it. Otherwise, our court
- 13 reporter is going to struggle all day.
- 14 A. Okay.
 - Q. Does that make sense?
- 16 A. Yes.

15

- 17 Q. All right. Second - I forgot what I was going to
- 18 say there for a second.
- 19 The court reporter is taking down everything we
- say. This isn't a video deposition, so you have to give
- 21 audible answers. You can't, like, nod your head or give ums
- 22 and ahs; do you understand that?
- 23 A. Yes, I do.
- 24 Q. All right. If at any time you don't understand my
- 25 question, you're free to ask me to repeat it, to clarify it.

7

- 1 And if you need a break at any time, that's fine. I just
- ask that you not take a break while a question is pending.
- Does that make sense?
- A. Yes. 4

11

- 5 Q. Okay. Perfect.
- 6 And obviously, I'm asking you questions based on
- your personal knowledge today. So unless I actually ask you
- to kind of give me an approximation or to guess, I don't
- want you to guess unless specifically asked to do so. Do
- 10 you understand that?
 - A. I understand.
- Q. Okay. First thing is: Did you and I speak at any
- 13 point before this deposition?
- A. Yes, we did. 14
- 15 Q. When did we speak?
- 16 A. Last week.
- 17 O. Okav. And what did we talk about?
- 18 A. About the case.
- 19 Q. Okay. And did I talk to you about the allegations
- 20 in Ms. Guasto's complaint?
- 21 A. Yes, you did.
- 22 Q. Did I ask you to testify in any specific way?
- 23
- 24 Q. Okay. Did I tell you that it was important to
- 25 tell the truth?

1 A. Yes.

5

9

16

25

- 2 Q. Okay. Understanding you are not a management employee, correct?
- 4 A. I am not.
 - Q. Are you a member of the FOP?
- 6 A. I am a member, but can you -- is it -- are you
 - asking if I'm executive or just an actual member?
- 8 Q. First, I'm asking if you're a member?
 - A. I am.
- 10 Q. Okay. And what is the FOP?
- 11 A. It is the union that represents the police
- 12 department, police officers.
- Q. City of Miami Beach Police Department is unionized 13
- 14 through the Fraternal Order of Police, correct?
- 15 A. Yes, it is, yes.
 - Q. I think Lodge Number 48; is that right?
- 17
- 18 Q. Right. Forty-eight, six, same thing. Strike
- 19 that.
- 20 And we'll get back to that in a moment.
- 21 How long -- and you said you've been employed with
- 22 the City in the police department for 20 years -- 20-plus
- years? 23
- 24 A. Twenty years.
 - Q. Okay. Can you just give us a brief description or

Sqt. Arley Flaherty

3 (Pages 9 to 12)

10

12

9

1 a history of your employment at the police department?

- 2 A. Yes. I started off as a regular patrol. I then
- did a bike -- bike unit. After that, for about nine years,
- I was part of our vice squad at the time that did narcotics,
- prostitution, human trafficking, a little bit of everything.
- I was -- during that time I was also detached to a money
- 7 laundering task with the State Attorney's Office.
- 8 Once I got promoted, I went back to the road. I
- 9 then went for about two to three years to what we call
- RDAing, our -- our re -- it's like an area that's re --
- we're grants. It's a CityCenter if you're familiar with
- 12 that, where the courthouse is, and it's just a specialized
- 13 unit.
- 14 And then for the last six years, four to five --
- actually, five years, I've been part of the -- I am the
- 16 background unit and recruitment supervisor.
- 17 Q. When were you promoted to sergeant?
- 18 A. I was promoted in August of 2015.
- 19 Q. And then, the hierarchy of the police department
- as I understand it runs, generally speaking, sometimes
- there's some changes depending upon at the top, but 21
- generally runs Chief of Police at the top, sometimes there's
- 23 an Assistant Chief, sometimes there isn't, it just depends.
- 2.4 Right?
- 25 A. That's --

- Q. Is that correct so far?
- 2 A. Yes.

1

6

- 3 Q. And then, below it, Assistant Chief would be your
- 4 Majors, correct?
- 5 A. Yes.
 - Q. And then, Captain below that; is that right?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And then, Lieutenant, correct?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Then Sergeant, right?
- 11 A. Yes, yes.
- 12 Q. And then, Police Officer?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Then within Police Officer, Sergeant or
- 15 Lieutenant, generally speaking, there are different
- 16 detachments or duties or assignments, so you could be, for
- 17 example, a Sergeant, but in the motors unit or a police
- 18 officer who is a detective also; is that right, things like
- 19
- 20 A. Correct. That's correct.
- 21 Q. And it's my understanding -- correct me if I'm
- wrong -- that the FOP bargaining unit, the employees within
- 23 that unit consists of police officers or police employees
- 24 that are sworn that are the rank of Lieutenant and below; is
- that right?

11

1 A. Correct, correct.

2

- Q. And then, what was your other role?
- A. And then, I was the First Vice President.
- Q. And when were you the First Vice President?
- 5 A. I was the First Vice President. So there is a
- term going on right now. This one, he is -- what year? We
- are in 2024? So he was 2022. So I was from -- it's a
- two-year term. So I want to say I was -- prior to this one,
- 9 so 20 -- 2021 to 20 -- 2020 to 2022. That was my term, the
- 10 two-year term.

11 Q. And the title was First Vice President? 12

- A. Yes, it's President, First Vice President, then
- Second Vice President. 13
- 14 Q. So can you describe for me the internal
- 15 organizational structure of the FOP? I think you kind of
- 16 just did a little bit, but give me the full picture.
- 17 A. Yes. Shame on me, I don't remember everybody's
- 18 position. But it's President, First Vice President, Second
- 19 Vice President. We have a Treasurer, a Secretary, Inner
- 20 Guard, Outer Guard, Sergeant-at-Arms and a Chaplain and the
- 21 three Trustees.
- 22 Q. And generally speaking, what's the role of the FOP
- 23 in the context of how it relates to the City, to management,
- 24 and to disciplinary issues with employees?
- 25 A. They obviously represent the employee when any

1 A. That's correct.

2 Q. The management employees are Captain and above; is 3 that right?

- A. Yes. 4
- 5
- Q. Okay. Perfect. 6
- Tell me about -- what is your current role within 7 the FOP?
- 8 A. I currently am just a member.
- 9 Q. Okay. And -- but previous you were part of the 10 executive board or committee?
- A. I was. I was part of the executive board in two 11 12 different capacities.
- 13 Q. Okay. What were those capacities?
- A. I first was a Trustee. And then, my last was -- I 14
- 15 was a First Vice President.
- Q. So let's start with when were you a Trustee. And 16 17 what is a Trustee?
- A. I -- I do not recall the years back when I was a 18
- 19 Trustee. Pretty much, it's just to make sure that
- 20 everything within the union is going how it's supposed to
- be. We usually review the -- the by-laws. We review the
- financial statements. We just ensure that everything is up
- to date and that the lodge is running how it's supposed to 24 be running. There's three of us.
- 25 Q. By "lodge," you mean the local unions, correct?

13

4 (Pages 13 to 16)

- 1 type of discipline is set forth. Anything that may go
- 2 against our contract that might be in a violation or
- 3 something usually FOP is there to represent the -- the
- 4 member.5 **O. A**1

6

10

- Q. And by "contract," you're referring to the Collective Bargaining Agreement; is that correct?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. And the Collective Bargaining Agreement confers 9 certain rights to the bargaining unit employees, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And that's an agreement that's bargained between the City's management and the union; is that right?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- Q. Within that agreement I believe there is a grievance procedure; is that right?
- A. There is. There is a grievance committee within the FOP.
- 18 Q. What is the grievance committee?
- 19 A. So the grievance committee consists of three
- 20 members. It's usually -- it's usually from the executive
- 21 board unless the President and First Vice President decide
- 22 to bring in, like, a -- a member that's not part of the
- 23 executive board, just somebody who may have knowledge or
- 24 something or shows interest.
- I've been a member of the grievance committee.

- 1 It's -- like I said, it's three members that are -- are
- 2 picked. And they're the ones who help with the grievances
- 3 that the officer wants to file.
- Q. Does the grievance committee review potential officer grievances to determine if they have merit or not?
 - A. Yes, they do.
- 7 Q. Okay. Tell me about that process in general.
- What does that look like?
- A. So usually, the officer will bring whatever, if
- 10 it's -- if it's a disciplinary matter, they will bring
- 11 whatever the discipline was. If they feel that it was done
- 12 injust, they may have proof or something. An example would
- 13 be maybe time away or -- or court -- something -- missing
- 14 court or something. They could show that they were in
- court, but maybe the check-in wasn't properly, you know,
- 16 functioning that day, you know, especially like during
- 17 COVID.
- So they may have gotten written up for missing a
- 19 certain amount of court time. And they'll show the proof.
- 20 And -- and, you know, we'll -- we'll just try to take it up
- 21 to the -- it will first go to the level of here, the Chief,
 - show them the proof, and -- you know, it could -- it could
- 23 be resolved before it goes over to human resources.
- Q. Got it. But at the end of the day, does the
- 25 grievance committee generally make a determination as to
- 15
- 1 whether a grievance has some initial merit in order to go
- 2 forward?
- 3 A. Yes, it does.
- 4 O. One second.
- And does the Collective Bargaining Agreement talk about something called, "just cause," if you recall?
 - A. I don't recall.
- 8 Q. Okay. But within the Collective Bargaining
- 9 Agreement, it deals with whether or not an officer can be
- 10 terminated or disciplined and what the standard is for that,
- 11 correct?

7

16

- 12 A. Yes, yes.
- Q. Have you been involved with or are you aware of occasions where officers give up some of their rights under
- 15 the Collective Bargaining Agreement?
 - A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And that could happen sometimes through something
- 18 called a "Last Chance Agreement," right?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- Q. Are you familiar with Last Chance Agreements?
- 21 A. I have been, yes.
- Q. Okay. Can you talk about your familiarity with
- 23 those agreements?
- A. I was privy to two -- two cases. And it's pretty
- 25 much -- it's pretty much what it says, last chance. They're

- 1 given a last chance based on whatever the -- whatever the --
- 2 I guess the best word is "allegations" or whatever they were
- 3 being reprimanded for, they're given a last chance. And if
- 4 they violate anything, that's it. They have no more
- 5 chances.
- 6 Q. And they -- basically, Last Chance Agreements,
- 7 generally speaking, they waive their rights under the
- 8 Collective Bargaining Agreement, i.e. just -- the City
- 9 having to establish just cause and the ability to grieve the
- 10 discipline, be it a write-up or a termination; is that a
- 11 fair characterization?
- 12 A. That is. That is. Pretty much, that's it.
- Q. You said you were involved in two Last Chance
- 14 Agreements previously; is that right?
- 15 A. I was.
- 16 Q. Were you involved as a representative from the FOP
- 17 for an employee that was subject to a Last Chance Agreement?
 - A. I was one of the representatives. There's usually
- 19 a person who will take charge like the -- the Chairman. In
- 20 that case, we have a Chairman. I forgot to tell you
- 21 Chairman of the grievance. So I was there as part of the
- 22 FOP, ves.

18

- Q. And does the FOP also have an in-house lawyer?
- 24 A. We do.
- Q. Who is that?

14

16

(Pages 17 to 20)

18

1 A. Gene Gibbons and his partner -- I can't

remember -- Buschell, I think.

Q. Robert Buschell?

4 A. Yes. Those are the --

MR. ELKINS: Tim last name Buschell,

6 B-u-s-c-h-e-l-l.

3

5

8

10

7 BY MR. ELKINS:

Q. But who primarily handles the work for the FOP?

9 Is it Gene or Robert?

A. For the most part, it's Gene. If he happens to be

dealing with another city, it's Rob. But it's -- it's Gene. 11

12 Q. Okay. And does Gene generally represent or work

13 with FOP employees on disciplinary matters like Last Chance 14

Agreements?

15 A. Yes, he does.

16 Q. Is he basically the designated FOP lawyer for

17 members of the FOP?

18 A. Yes, he is.

Q. Do FOP members, absent their dues -- I understand 19

they have to pay dues. Put the dues aside. Do they have to

pay any additional money for Gene's services?

22 A. No.

2

3

4

14

15

O. And are FOP members allowed to also hire their own 23

2.4 private counsel?

25 A. Yes, they can. Q. In addition to using Gene?

2 A. Yes.

1

3

6

12

Q. Does that happen frequently or do they usually use

4 Gene?

5 A. It's happened.

Q. So sometimes an employee could have two lawyers,

7 not one?

8 A. Exactly.

9 Q. Okay. And does Gene generally work with employees

10 relating to the Settlement Agreements and Last Chance

11 Agreements?

A. Yes, he does.

13 Q. And have you had experience with that with other

14 employees?

15 A. Where they used Gene for that?

16 O. Yes.

17 A. Yes. Yes.

18 Q. Okay. Do you know Jessica Guasto, or formerly

19 known as Jessica Salabarria, maybe currently known as

Jessica Salabarria. It's unclear to me. Her name changes

21 frequently. But do you know her?

22 A. I do know her.

23 Q. How do you know her?

24 A. She was an employee here on the beach.

25 Q. And did you have an opportunity to interact with

19 20

her while she was an employee at the beach? 1

A. Yes, I did.

Q. Can you describe, generally speaking, your interactions or base of knowledge about her?

A. It was obviously a -- a fellow officer, then a fellow sergeant. And then, during the -- while she was

going through her grievances, I was part of the FOP at that 8 time.

9 Q. Okay. When you refer to "grievances," what are 10 you referring to?

11 A. I know she -- she's had multiple grievances, so I was just aware of them. I may not have been present at all

13 the ones that she had.

> I also was involved -- they had given her an opportunity at one time to, like, a mentoring program with three other -- with three supervisors. They wanted to have three female supervisors. But it never got to where she was

18 with me. I think she only had one, and then she no longer 19 completed the mentoring program.

20 Q. Well, tell me - tell me about the mentoring 21 program. What was the basis of that, the genesis of it,

22 when was it?

23 A. This was one of the times that -- or I think the

24 only time -- I don't recall -- when she was no longer a

sergeant. I think she got to become a sergeant again and

they were giving her the opportunity to be with other

sergeants and lieutenants, you know, to maybe guide her. I

guess that's what the Chief at the time had in mind.

Q. Okay. Who was the chief at the time?

A. It was Dan Oats.

6 Q. Okay. So it was the Chief before Chief Clements,

7 correct?

5

9

12

16

8 A. Correct.

Q. And was Dan Oats the Chief at the time that

Jessica was promoted to sergeant, and then ultimately

11 demoted, and then reinstated?

A. I -- I don't recall.

13 O. Okav. That's fine.

14 What was your understanding about this mentoring 1.5 program and how it worked and what was supposed to happen?

A. My understanding is that they wanted to give her

an opportunity to -- she hadn't been a sergeant for a while,

so it was to get, you know, back into the swing of things.

19 And -- and they wanted her, I guess, you know, with a female

2.0 maybe so she felt more comfortable is what they had told me.

21 But like I said, she never got to shadow me. I

guess that would be the best word. And it was going to be

three females, but it never got to that. 23

24 Q. Well, what did it ultimately get to?

25 A. If I recall, she left to rehab.

6 (Pages 21 to 24)

Q. She left to where?
A. Rehab.

3 Q. What kind of rehab?

4 A. I don't necessarily -- I'm not sure what it is.

5 I'm going by hearsay.

6 Q. Okay. Well, based on hear -- what did you hear 7 about the rehab?

A. Sex rehab.

9 Q. For sex addiction?

10 A. Yes.

8

Q. Okay. Is that common knowledge in the police

12 department that you're aware of?

13 A. About a sex rehab or for her in particular?

14 Q. Of Jessica in general.

15 A. Yes.

Q. And do you know why she had to go to sex rehab?

17 A. I don't know if she elected or they told her.

18 It's just, she had a reputation in the department, I guess.

Q. What was her reputation?

20 A. That she had multiple partners here.

Q. Multiple as in many?

22 A. Yes.

Q. And was that a reputation amongst non-management

employees, i.e. employees of the rank of lieutenant and

25 below, which you of course are?

1 A. Yes, everyone.

2 MR. BARROUKH: Objection to form.

3 BY MR. ELKINS:

Q. You can answer the question. You may hear
 opposing counsel object to form. That's fine. You can --

you can still answer the question. I'll rephrase the

7 question.

8

12

24

Based upon your knowledge, was that reputation

9 known amongst non-management employees, i.e. the rank of

10 lieutenant and below?

11 A. Yes, everyone.

Q. And what is your -- what is your understanding of

13 the basis for that reputation?

A. Can you be a little bit -- I'm not sure what

15 you're asking.

Q. What is your understanding of how Jessica earned

17 that reputation?

A. That she was sleeping around with multiple people

19 in the organization.

Q. Okay. Are you aware of her podcasts that she had

21 regarding her particular relationships?

22 A. I did see one that they showed me.

Q. Okay. Well, first of all, who is "they"?

A. Just, it was officers that happened to have seen

25 it in my unit and they just showed it to me.

23 24

Q. You mean heard it. Because it was a podcast?

2 A. Correct

3 Q. Okay. And what did you hear on the podcast?

4 A. It was just --

MR. BARROUKH: Object. Form.

THE WITNESS: -- women talking. I think that day

they were talking about the beach, if I'm -- if I'm not

8 mistaken.

5

6

7

14

20

9 BY MR. ELKINS:

10 Q. "They," being her and her then boyfriend/future

11 husband/now ex-husband, Nicholas Guasto?

12 A. It was Nicholas, yes, her and Nicholas. It was

13 like a type of talking back and forth.

Q. On a podcast that the world could hear?

15 A. Correct.

16 Q. And they were talking about City of Miami Beach

17 and the police department, correct?

18 A. That particular day I only heard -- they were

19 talking about something with the beach.

Q. But you don't remember the details of it?

21 A. No, sir.

Q. Did you ever have an occasion to supervise

23 Jessica?

24 A. I did not.

Q. Are you familiar with the relationship between

1 Jessica and Lieutenant Steven Cosner?

A. Yes.

Q. And what is your understanding based on your

knowledge of that relationship?

A. It -- it -- once again, it's -- it was hearsay

that they were together, they were always seen together,

7 riding together, so it was common knowledge that they were

8 together.

9 Q. And by common knowledge that they were together,

 $10\,$ do you mean common knowledge that they were sleeping

11 together

12 A. It was -- it was said that they were a couple,

13 yes.

16

23

14 Q. And this goes back to approximately, like, 2014 or

15 '15; does that sound about right, or maybe longer even?

A. I -- I don't recall the -- the time.

Q. Do you have any information about how that

18 relationship ended or why it ended?

19 A. No, I don't.

Q. Do you have any information or knowledge about the

21 other people in the department that Jessica has slept with?

22 A. A few

Q. Okay. What information do you have on that?

A. You just have the typical guy who either said

 $25~\,$ he -- you know, he was with her one time or you have the

Coastal Reporting, Inc. (954) 523-5326

22

(Pages 25 to 28)

26

25

1 ones who knew about somebody else. You have the ones that

say why certain officers left was because of their

relationship with Jessica, so --

4 Q. Do you have information about officers who left 5 the City of Miami Beach due to their relationship with Jessica?

A. That was what was said, that the officers who left 8 were because the relationship they had with her.

Q. What specific information do you have on that?

10 A. Either that their wives had caught them or they

themselves got jammed up here, things like that. 11

12 Q. Do you remember approximately how many officers 13 that was that you were aware of?

14 A. That left? I could only recall two.

15 Q. And who were they?

A. I only know one's name, which was Estevez. I 16

17 don't recall the other one's name.

18 Q. Do you know Estevez's full name?

19 A. I can't remember right now.

20 Q. Did you ever -- have you ever had any personal

21 issues -- any issues between you and Jessica directly?

A. I -- I haven't, but it was told to me she didn't 22

23 care for me, so --

24 Q. Who told you that?

25 A. Huh?

9

1 Q. Who told you that?

A. Just, for example, the times when I would try to

go, you know, represent her, because I was FOP, it would be,

you know, either the President would tell me, hey, she said

she doesn't want you in there, but I had to be in there. I

mean, I was part of the grievance committee. I was part of

7 the board.

8 Q. Are you -- are you referring to the January 2021

9 meeting --

10

15

17

A. Yes.

11 O. -- with Chief Clements?

A. Yes. 12

13 Q. Okay. We are going to get to that --

14 A. Oh, okay.

Q. We are going to get to that pretty shortly here.

16 Because again, I don't want to keep you too long.

A. Okay.

18 Q. So we are going to get to that.

19 But other than that January meeting, did you have

20 any other occasion to represent Jessica as a member of the

21 FOP?

7

14

22 A. No.

23 Q. And you never supervised her?

24 I did not supervise her.

25 Q. So you never issued any discipline to her?

27

A. I have not issued any discipline to her.

2 Q. And just to button that up, you've never written

her up, given her a verbal reprimand, recommend her for

suspension, recommended her for termination, nothing like

5 that?

1

7

16

23

6 A. No, I have not.

Q. Never done a personnel -- never done an evaluation

8 on her, correct?

9 A. No.

10 Q. You've never had any input into an evaluation -- a

11 personnel evaluation?

12 A. No.

13 Q. Have you ever had -- excluding January 2021, have

you ever had any input into any other discipline with her

15 prior to January 2021?

A. Like I said, she had other grievances. I was

aware of them, but it was just discussed within the

grievance committee. It's not like I had any decisions. It

19 was amongst everybody.

20 Q. And in the past, prior to January 2021, have the

FOP ever denied a grievance for Jessica; in other words,

refused to bring her grievances forward?

A. Not that I'm aware of.

24 Q. Okay. So let's talk about January of 2021. So

25 you were -- you were part of a meeting, I believe that

28

occurred on -- let me double-check this. January -- hold on. Nineteenth. Do you recall that? January 19th, 2021.

It was a meeting where Wayne was there, the Chief, Paul,

Reggie, Eldon, Cosner. Do you recall that meeting? 5

A. I -- is that the last chance meeting?

6 Q. We can call it the last chance meeting, sure.

A. Yes, I was there.

Q. Give me -- I just need to change the labels on a

9 few things here. One second. All right.

10 Well, first of all, before we get to that, how did

11 it -- how did it come up that you were at that meeting? A. So for two reasons: One, because I was the Vice

12 President at the time, I -- I -- what year was it? 13

O. 2021.

15 A. Yes. So I was Vice President. But we also made

sure that if -- and not just for her, if there was a female 16

involved in any type of grievance or any type of meeting,

18 just so they could feel comfortable, we felt that it was,

19 you know, to have another female in there with them, so --

20 Q. Now, prior to this January 2021 meeting, did you have any involvement with Jessica in any of her other

grievances other than being on the grievance committee? 22

23 A. Yeah, that was it.

24 Q. Okay. So this 2021 meeting, this was your first,

25 we'll call it "foray" into any of the issues that Jessica

7

12

16

2

5

18

21

(Pages 29 to 32)

30

32

29

1 was having with the police department at that time, correct?

2 A. That I was present. Because I was obviously aware

of all her other ones. I was present at this one.

4 Q. Were you and the other FOP members called to Chief 5

Clements' office in advance of the meeting with Jessica?

A. Yes, we did go before.

7 Q. Okay. And did you have -- did you and other FOP 8 grievance committee members and the President, did you have

9 a chance to talk to the Chief before the meeting?

A. Yes, we did.

6

12

15

MR. BARROUKH: Objection. Form. 11

MR. ELKINS: What's the form objection with that

13 question? I asked her if they had a chance to talk to

14 the Chief. What's the form problem with that question?

MR. BARROUKH: Are you asking --

16 MR. ELKINS: I didn't ask what they said. I just 17

asked her based on her knowledge, did they have a

18 conversation with the Chief before the -- before the

19 meeting. What's the form issue with that question?

20 MR. BARROUKH: I believe it's vague as to asking

21 if they spoke with the Chief separately from her --

22 from Sergeant Flaherty there as well as speaking with

23 Sergeant Flaherty there.

24 MR. ELKINS: That's nonsense. I asked her if her

25 and the FOP collectively had a chance to speak with the 1 Chief. So again, I ask, what's the form issue? Is it

2 a compound question?

MR. BARROUKH: I stated the form issue is vague.

4 MR. ELKINS: Okay.

5 MR. BARROUKH: The question was vague.

6 BY MR. ELKINS:

Q. The question is the same. You can answer it. Did you and/or the FOP grievance committee members have an

occasion to talk to the Chief in advance on the day of this

10 January 19th, 2021 meeting?

11 A. Yes, we did.

Q. What did you guys talk about?

13 A. He just advised about how she had violated the --

the Last Chance Agreement and that they were going to bring 14

her up so that she was told.

Q. Did he tell you that any decisions had been made

17 with respect to her prior to the meeting?

18 A. Yes, that she was going to be terminated because

19 of the Last Chance Agreement that she violated.

20 Q. Okay. Did he ask you whether you agreed with the

21 termination or not, or did he indicate that, you know, you

22 were being called in there to represent her?

23 A. We were there to represent us, so that's why he

24 had us there.

25 Q. Okay. So the Chief had told you in advance of the

31

January 19, 2021 meeting that it was his belief she violated

her Last Chance Agreement, and so she was going to be

3 terminated?

A. Correct. 4

Q. But was he going to give her a chance to explain 5 6 herself prior to the termination?

A. Yes.

7

14

20

8 Q. Do you know if the Chief was required to even give

9 her a chance to explain herself given the Last Chance

10 Agreement?

11 A. No, he's not.

12 Q. He could have just fired her without any

13 explanation from her, correct?

A. Correct.

15 Q. She was effectively an employee-at-will at that

16 point, correct?

17 A. Yes, she was. Yes.

18 Q. Was the Chief doing her, like, a favor by asking

19 -- by trying to get an explanation from her?

A. I wouldn't be able to tell you. I would imagine.

21 Q. Okay. But at that time, the FOP -- you and your

22 fellow FOP grievance committee members, including the

President, the First Vice President and the Second Vice President, all were present and the Grievance Chair -- you

25 were the First Vice President at the time -- you were all

aware of the Last Chance Agreement, correct?

A. Yes, we were.

3 Q. You were aware that the Chief had no obligation to

talk to her before terminating her?

A. Correct.

6 Q. Okay. Is it common that sometimes prior to a

meeting with the bargaining unit member, that the union

representatives will be called to go to the supervisor

9 before the meeting? Does that happen?

10 A. I didn't understand what you said. I'm sorry.

11 Q. Yeah, that's fine. So you and your fellow FOP

12 members were with the Chief before the meeting, correct?

13 A. Correct.

14 Q. That -- in terms of other meetings, other

15 disciplinary matters, is it common that sometimes the FOP

16 representatives will be at the meeting before the rank and

17 file employee, like, in advance?

A. Yes, yes. Yes, it is.

19 Q. And is that because the City sometimes has to have 20 the meeting quickly --

Sorry, my dog's barking in the background.

22 -- that sometimes the City has to do the meeting

23 quickly; and so, they'll -- they want to have a

24 representative present before the employee shows up?

25 A. Yes. It could be for that or, you know, let us

9 (Pages 33 to 36)

34

36

33

- 1 know so we could speak to her or him or whatever the
- 2 situation is before.
- **Q.** And also, to generally avoid any opportunity for
- 4 management to question an employee or even look like they're
- 5 talking to an employee without their representative?
 - A. Absolutely.

6

- 7 Q. So if the FOP representatives are present before
- 8 the meeting starts, that solidifies that there was never any
- 9 contact between management and rank and file in a
- O disciplinary meeting before then?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 **Q. Before the meeting?**
- 13 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. So I'm going to show you what I'm going to
- 15 mark as Exhibit 1.
- 16 (Deposition Exhibit Number 1 marked for
- 17 identification.)
- 18 BY MR. ELKINS:
- 19 Q. Are you able to see this document?
- 20 A. I can
- Q. Okay. Give me one second. Okay. So this is a
- 22 memorandum written to Jessica from Chief Clements. Have you
- 23 ever seen this before?
- A. I probably did see it that day. I just don't
- 25 recall it right now.

- 1 Q. Okay. And this outlines essentially what happened
- 2 in this meeting and who was present?
 - A. Correct.

4

10

- Q. Okay. So the first thing I want to do is talk
- 5 about who was present at the meeting. It says here on
- 6 January 19th, 2021, I held a meeting with you to discuss the
- 7 allegations in the AEM.
 - That's the Allegation of Employee Misconduct filed
- 9 by Steven Cosner, which I presume you're familiar with?
 - A. Yes
- Q. Had you read that prior to the meeting?
- 12 A. I had.
- Q. Okay. And it says: Present at the meeting was
- 14 Wayne Jones, who was at the time the Deputy Chief, Paul
- 15 Ozaeta, who was at the time the FOP President, who was the
- 16 Lieutenant, you, the FOP First Vice President, Reggie
- 17 Lester, the Second Vice President, Doug Brown, who is now a
- 18 Captain, but at the time was the Grievance Chair, Cosner --
- 19 Lieutenant Cosner, and A.J. Prieto, who at the time was the
- 20 Captain of Internal Affairs. Do you see that?
 - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Is that an accurate list of who was present at the
- 23 meeting?

21

- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Let me ask you this: Do bargaining unit employees

35

- 1 ordinarily have the FOP President, First Vice President,
- 2 Second Vice President and Grievance Chairman at their
- 3 meetings with management regarding discipline? Is that
- 4 normal
- 5 A. So, like I said, it could have been done just with
- 6 the Second Vice President or just with me. But I -- I was
- 7 -- I was the female involved, you know, to give her a sense
- 8 of -- of comfort. And I do not recall if I was on the
- 9 grievance committee at the time or it was Reggie Lester.
- 10 That's why maybe he was there. Definitely you needed the
- 11 Chairman of the grievance committee because he was the one
- 12 involved with the grievance.
- But yeah, it could be -- it could be the whole
- 14 executive board or it could be three of us. It's -- it's
- 15 never been, you know, an issue.
- 16 Q. But is it common that a rank and file employee
- would have the entire executive board of the FOP present for
- 18 a disciplinary meeting? Is that normal or is that unique
- 19 based on your experience?
- 20 A. It -- it could happen.
- Q. Okay. Is it fair to say, though, that Jessica was
- 22 represented during this meeting?
- A. She was very represented.
- Q. Okay. At any point in time in the meeting, do you
- 25 recall Jessica saying that she wanted an attorney there?

1 A. No.

2

9

- Q. Did she request anybody to be there?
- A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Who did she request to be there?
- 5 A. She wanted her then husband/boyfriend, Guasto, to
- 6 come in with her.
- 7 Q. Nicholas Guasto?
- 8 A. Correct.
 - Q. And do you remember what his rank was at the time?
- 10 A. Officer.
- Q. Okay. So he wasn't a supervisor of any kind?
- 12 A. No.
- Q. Did he have any kind of role in the FOP besides
- 14 being a member?
- 15 A. I do not recall if at that time he did or he did
- 16 not.
- Q. Okay. What was the -- what happened with her
- 18 request to have her husband present?
- 19 A. It was denied.
- 20 **O. Who denied it?**
- 21 A. The Chief.
- Q. Okay. And did you see any problem? Was there any
- 23 problem with the Chief denying her request to have her
- 24 husband there?
- 25 A. No.

Coastal Reporting, Inc. (954) 523-5326

(Pages 37 to 40)

38

40

37

Q. Why not?

1

- 2 A. Because he was also involved in a similar
- allegation, so it would have -- it would have jeopardized
- his grievance at the time.
- 5 O. I mean, does she generally have a right, though, 6 to have her husband present at disciplinary meetings in 7
- 8 A. It's never been a common practice, so I wouldn't
- 9 be able to answer. But he -- because he had a similar
- allegation, it just -- it would have been a conflict of
- interest, I guess would be the best word. 11
- Q. Was the fact that he wasn't there, did that cause 12
- 13 her to have any less representation?
- 14 A. Not at all.
- 15 Q. At the end of the day, she still had the
- President, the First Vice President, the Second Vice
 - President and the Grievance Chairman all present, correct?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. Plus a female, being you, correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Okay. Was -- at any point in the meeting was
- Jessica told by the Chief or anyone else that if she gets up
- 23 and leaves, she'll be fired?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. What is your recollection of what happened in this

- 1 meeting?
- 2 A. My recollection is they went over the -- the
- allegations that had been made by -- the reprimand by
- Lieutenant Cosner at the time. They gave her an opportunity
- to explain; in other words, counter the allegations.
- 6 And then, the next thing I recall is the then
- Internal Affairs commander, which was Prieto, pretty much,
- you know, just went line by line, everything she was
- countering and said, you know, all his proof and his
- findings to -- to show that she was -- she was not being
- 11 truthful.

19

- 12 Q. Okay. Now, did you have a chance to review any
- 13 findings from Captain Prieto or anybody else?
- 14 A. I -- I personally don't remember. But I think the
- 15 President did.
- 16 Q. Okay. But did -- did you not look at Cosner's 17 allegation and -- and what he had alleged and what she had
- 18 said and how that played out?

A. Yes, I did -- I did see it.

- 20 Q. What was your conclusion about that, if anything?
- 21 A. He had done his homework. He had all his -- he
- 22 had all his backing also, pretty much similar to what the
- 23 Internal Affairs, who has a little bit more access than he
- 24 does, to -- to, like, key cards and entries. But what he
- was capable of -- of finding out himself contradicted what

39

- 1 she was alleging that she hadn't done.
- Q. At any point in time in this meeting, did you or
- any other member of the FOP tell the City that this was an
- improper interrogation under Chapter 112? 4
- A. No. 5
- 6 Q. I'm sorry?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. And do -- do you know if Reggie Lester said that?
- 9 A. I don't recall, but no.
- 10 Q. Okay. Did you believe that there was any improper
- interrogation going on at this meeting? 11
- 12 A. No, there wasn't.
- 13 Q. If there was an improper interrogation going on,
- 14 would you have said something?
- 15 A. Absolutely.
- 16 Q. Are you familiar with the Police Officer Bill of
- 17 Rights and how Chapter 112 works?
- 18 A. As best as I can, yes.
- 19 Q. You're certainly with familiar with the idea that
- 20 if an officer is going to be interrogated, there are certain
- 21 requirements, correct?
- 22 A. Absolutely, yes.
- 23 Q. But in your view, this particular meeting was
- 24 because of her Last Chance Agreement, correct?
- 25 A. That's correct.

- 1 Q. This is a meeting that the City didn't even have
 - to have with her; is that the FOP's viewpoint and yours? A. You broke up. What was that?
 - Q. And this was a meeting that the City didn't even
 - have to have with her; is that correct?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. And are you -- and assuming there was a 112
- violation, how would that get dealt with with the FOP?
- A. The grievance, they would have stopped the -- the
- 10 interrogation, not the interrogation, the -- the meeting and
- 11 they would have immediately called Gene Gibbons and -- and
- 12 tried, you know, taken care of it.
- 13 Q. Gene Gibbons, being the FOP lawyer, correct? 14
 - A. Correct.
- 15 Q. And are you familiar with the administrative 16 process to resolve alleged 112 violations?
- 17 A. I haven't been involved in it, no.
 - Q. Okay. Understood.
- 19 So the move here for the FOP, be it the President,
- 20 First Vice President, Second Vice President or Grievance
- 21 Chair would have been to stop the meeting and call Gene
- 22 Gibbons?

18

- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 Q. Did anybody do that here?
- 25 A. No.

(Pages 41 to

42

44

41

- 1 Q. At any point in time, did Jessica say in the
- meeting that she thought this was an improper interrogation?
- 3

6

- 4 Q. Okay. Did you ask any questions of anybody in 5 this meeting?
 - A. I did not.
- 7 Q. You didn't ask any questions of Cosner?
- 8 A. I asked Cosner a question in the meeting prior to
- 9 that meeting when we met with the Chief.
- 10 Q. Before -- before --
- A. Before we met with -- before we met with -- before 11
- 12 we met with Jessica and everybody else.
- 13 Q. Okay. So let's go back to that. One second.
- 14 Okay. So let's go back to that.
- 15 On that same day, which was January 19th, prior to
- 16 the meeting where Jessica was President -- was present --
- 17 A. Right.
- 18 Q. - you and - and I believe Paul, Reggie, Delvin
- 19 -- let me get the other names here -- yeah, Paul, Reggie,
- and Delvin all met with the Chief and Cosner before the
- meeting? 21
- 22 A. Correct. I -- I don't recall who was in the room
- 23 at that time. I know it was the Chief, myself, and Cosner.
- I don't remember if every -- if the other board members had
- gotten there yet. But I was there, the Chief was there and

- Cosner was there.
- Q. And what did you ask Cosner?
- A. I just asked him -- you know, I wanted to make
- sure that this had nothing to do with any type of -- not --
- I guess retaliation based on the, you know, the rumors about
- their -- their relationship.
- Q. The rumors about their relationship from approximately eight or nine years ago?
- 9 A. Correct.
 - Q. And what did he say?
- 11 A. That's --

10

- 12 Q. And what did he say?
- 13 A. That's exactly what he said. He said that it was
- 14 a very long time ago and that, no. And then, that's when he
- was telling us, you know, I -- I followed up. I made sure,
- 16 you know, gave her the benefit of the doubt, but these are
- 17 my findings.
- 18 And that's when we saw his -- his write-up and all
- 19 his, you know, what he had done to -- to follow -- follow up
- 20 his allegations.
- 21 Q. Which included talking to the officers that were
- on duty that night about whether or not Jessica had actually
- called them to tell them about the duty assignments, 23
- 24 correct?
- 25 A. That's correct, yes.

43

- Q. At any point did the Chief or Cosner talk to you
- 2 about Jessica's 2020 EEOC charge; did that ever come up?
- 3
- A. No.

1

- 4 Q. Are you aware at all of the City implementing her
- voluntary resignation letter and finding a violation of her
- Last Chance Agreement because either the Chief or Cosner or
- some other decision-maker was retaliating against her for
- 8 filing a 2020 EEOC charge?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. Did the 2020 EEOC charge even come up in the
- meeting, either -- I think I asked you this, but I'll ask it 11
- again -- either before you met with Jessica and everyone
- from the City or during the meeting with Jessica? 13
- 14 A. That I recall, no.
- 15 Q. Have you heard anything in the department that the
- 16 City implemented her letter of resignation and found a
- violation of her Last Chance Agreement because she filed a
- 2020 EEOC charge? 18
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. Were you even aware of the 2020 EEOC charge at the
- time of the meeting?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. Did she make you aware of it during the meeting?
- 24 A. That I recall? No.
- 25 Q. Did she make you aware of it after the meeting?

- 1 A. I don't think it was her. I think it was just
- 2 talked about in general.
- 3 Q. So why didn't the FOP file a grievance on
- Jessica's behalf after she was terminated? A. Because part of her I guess settlement or her
- grievance was she had that last chance. There wouldn't have
- been grounds for a grievance after the fact.
 - Q. Did the FOP analyze -- you were the -- at the
- 9 time, the First Vice President, so I assume were part of the
- 10 conversations about whether a grievance was warranted,
- 11 correct?

12

23

- A. Correct.
- 13 Q. And did the FOP analyze Jessica's situation
- 14 relative to her Last Chance Agreement and her Settlement
- 15 Agreement and the allegations against her to determine
- whether or not it should file a grievance on her behalf? 16
- 17 A. It was -- it was -- it was talked about. But we
- 18 also obviously went to this attorney, you know, to get his 19 guidance.
- 20 Q. Yeah. I don't -- and I don't want to know what
- you talked with the -- I don't want to invade the
- attorney-client privilege of the FOP and its lawyer. 22
 - A. Correct.
- 24 Q. Because first of all, the lawyer is not here to
- assert that privilege. And obviously, Gene and I go way

(Pages 45 to 45 46 1 back. So don't tell me what you spoke to the lawyer about 1 A. No. 2 Q. Are you familiar with the allegations that she's or what he said. Absent anything you talked about with Gene, did 3 made in this lawsuit? 4 the FOP grievance committee analyze whether or not there was A. I am not. a valid grievance to file on behalf of Jessica relating to O. Are you familiar, though, that at least she's her separation from employment? alleging that the City retaliated against her and that her A. I believe they did do that. The grievance termination was improper retaliation; are you at least 8 committee did that. familiar with that? 9 Q. And were you part of that? 9 A. Yes. 10 A. I was part of it. 10 Q. And is that because we discussed it? Q. And what was --11 A. Yes. 11 12 A. Not of the grievance committee, but as part of --MR. ELKINS: Just give me five minutes. Let's 12 take a break for five minutes. I might be done, but I 13 the Vice President, I was advised. 13 Q. What was the conclusion that the FOP reached? 14 want to double-check some things. 14 15 A. That there wasn't merit for a grievance. 15 THE WITNESS: Okay. 16 Q. So did the FOP ultimately do anything after 16 MR. ELKINS: I'll be right back. 17 Jessica's termination on her behalf? 17 (Recess was taken.) 18 A. No. No. 18 BY MR. ELKINS: 19 Q. Is that because it determined there was no basis 19 Q. I just have one or two more questions. 20 **for it?** 20 Are you aware of or have you heard of any sort of A. Correct. 21 21 agreement, plot, conspiracy between FOP executive board 22 Q. Have you ever heard or were you aware of anybody members, like the President, you, grievance committee 23 or of anybody indicating that Jessica's separation of her 23 people, the lawyers, and city management to essentially employment was related to or because of or had anything to 24 railroad Jessica and terminate her no matter what she did? 25 do with her 2020 EEOC charge of discrimination? 25 Are you -- have you ever heard anything like that? 47 48 1 You can answer. 1 A. No. 2 2 MR. BARROUKH: Objection. Compound. BY MR. ELKINS: 3 3 BY MR. ELKINS: Q. I didn't hear your response. Could you please 4 4 O. You can answer. restate it? 5 5 A. It's happened where the officer was unable to sign A. No. 6 6 MR. ELKINS: Nothing further. 7 MR. BARROUKH: I do have a few questions. I'll 7 Q. And how is an AEM typically submitted? 8 8 MR. ELKINS: Objection to form. keep it quick. 9 9 CROSS EXAMINATION You can answer. 10 BY MR. BARROUKH: 10 THE WITNESS: Answer? 11 MR. ELKINS: Yeah, you can answer. 11 Q. You mentioned, Sergeant Flaherty, that you were aware of Steven Cosner's AEM; is that correct? 12 THE WITNESS: Usually all -- whoever writes it in 12 13 the -- the officer, everybody signs it all the way up 13 A. Correct. Q. Did you read the AEM? 14 to where -- whatever level it's going to go to. 14 15 A. I read it at the time. 15 BY MR. ELKINS: 16 Q. Did you see if the AEM was signed? 16 Q. So the AEM typically follows the chain of command; 17 A. I don't recall right now. 17 is that correct? 18 Q. Do you believe the AEM should be signed if it's 18 A. Usually, yes. 19 being filed? 19 Q. And now, back to the January 19th, 2021 meeting. 20 20 Did the Chief tell you why she was being terminated? A. I -- it -- yes. 21 A. He had said that she had violated her Last Chance Q. Do you believe there is a problem if an AEM is 21 22 filed without a signature from an officer? 22 Agreement.

23

24

25

Q. And are meetings like this supposed to be

A. That I recall? We've never recorded one.

23

24

25

MR. ELKINS: Objection.

THE WITNESS: Not necessarily.

MR. ELKINS: Hold on. Objection to form.

Sqt. Arley Flaherty

13 (Pages 49 to 52)

49 50 1 1 Q. Do you normally record meetings regarding Q. Was the AEM reviewed by City police management grievances at the police department? based upon your knowledge? 3 A. None that I've been involved in, no. 3 A. I would imagine, yes. 4 MR. BARROUKH: All right. Thank you. That's all 4 MR. ELKINS: Nothing further. 5 5 Tim, we are going to order a mini. I just want a 6 THE WITNESS: That's it? 6 mini. And why don't I drop the exhibit in the chat for 7 7 MR. ELKINS: I have a few followups from that. you, if that will work? 8 THE WITNESS: Okay. 8 THE REPORTER: Wonderful. 9 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 9 Sergeant, do you know if you'd like to read or 10 BY MR. ELKINS: 10 waive this transcript? 11 THE WITNESS: I'll read. 11 Q. The fact that -- I think you testified earlier THE REPORTER: Wonderful. 12 12 that -- that it does happen that AEMs sometimes don't get 13 13 signed, correct? Daniel, would you like a copy? 14 14 MR. BARROUKH: We'll hold off on a copy for now, A. Correct. 15 Q. Does the fact that it wasn't signed invalidate the 15 but I'll let you know. 16 contents or the facts contained in the AEM? 16 THE REPORTER: Wonderful. 17 A. No. 17 Michael, go ahead and send me that. 18 Q. Was the AEM reviewed by the FOP grievance 18 (Deposition concluded at 10:12 A.M.) 19 19 committee? 20 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Was the AEM reviewed by the top FOP executives? 21 22 22 23 23 Q. Was the AEM reviewed by the Chief based on your 24 knowledge? 24 25 A. Yes. 25 52 51 CERTIFICATE OF OATH 1 REPORTER'S DEPOSITION CERTIFICATE 1 2 2 STATE OF FLORIDA STATE OF FLORIDA) 3 COUNTY OF BROWARD 3 4 I, TIMOFEY GARBUZ, Notary Public, State of Florida, COUNTY OF PALM BEACH) 5 certify that SGT. ARLEY FLAHERTY personally appeared before me via Zoom on the 28th day of March 2024 and was duly I, TIMOFEY GARBUZ, Court Reporter, certify that I was 6 authorized to and did report the Deposition of SGT. ARLEY 7 sworn. FLAHERTY; that a review of the transcript was requested; and 8 Signed this 28th day of March 2024. that the foregoing transcript, pages 1-50, is a true and 9 complete record of my stenographic notes. 10 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, TIMOFEY GARBUZ employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' 11 Notary Public attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I State of Florida financially interested in the action. 12 My Commission #HH 284028 11 DATED this 14th day of April 2024. Expires July 5, 2026 12 13 13 14 14 15 15 TIMOFEY GARBUZ 16 COURT REPORTER 17 16 18 18 19 19 20 20 21 21 22 22 23 23 24 24 25 25

Sgt. Arley Flaherty

14 (Pages 53 to 54)

	53	5-	4
ERRATA SHEET DO NOT WRITE ON THE TRANSCRIPT - ENTER CHANGES IN RE: GUASTO V CITY OF MIAMI BEACH CASE NO: 1:22-ev-21004-DPG DATE: MARCH 28, 2024 DEPONENT NAME: SGT. ARLEY FLAHERTY PAGE/LINE CORRECTION REASON (Use other side if necessary) Under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have read the foregoing document and that the facts stated are true. SGT. ARLEY FLAHERTY DATE		DATE: MARCH 28, 2024 2 SGT. ARLEY FLAHERTY 3 ARLEYFLAHERTY@MIAMIBEACHFLGOV 4 IN RE: GUASTO V CITY OF MIAMI BEACH 5 Deposition of Arley Flaherty 6 This letter is to advise you that the transcript taken in the above-referenced deposition has been 7 transcribed. Please contact our office at (954)523-5326 to make arrangements to read and sign or sign below to waive review of the transcript. 9 It is suggested that the review of this transcript be completed within 30 days of your receipt of this letter as considered reasonable under Federal Rules*; however, there is no Florids Statute to this regard. 11 12 The original of this transcript has been forwarded to the ordering party and your errata, once received, will be forwarded to all ordering parties for inclusion in the 13 transcript. 14 Very truly yours, 15 16 Timofey Garbuz, Court Reporter 17 Waiver: 18 I,, hereby waive the reading and signing of my deposition transcript. 18 DEPONENT DATE 19 DEPONENT DATE 10 DEPONENT DATE 11 *Federal Civil Procedure Rule 30(e)Florida Civil Procedure 12 Rule 1.310(e). 15	4

Page	55

	20.10.21.2.10		D b . l l	h are a 64 42.16
A	30:19 31:2,10	approximation	B-u-s-c-h-e-l-l	benefit 42:16
A-r-l-e-y 5:6	32:1 39:24	7:8	17:6	best 16:2 20:22
A.J 34:19	43:6,17 44:14	April 52:11	back 8:20 9:8	37:11 39:18
A.M 1:15,15	44:15 46:21	area 9:10	11:18 20:18	bike 9:3,3
50:18	48:22	Arley 1:13 3:3	23:13 24:14	Bill 39:16
ability 16:9	agreements	4:19 5:6 51:5	41:13,14 45:1	bit 5:19 6:1 9:5
able 6:10 31:20	15:20,23 16:6	52:5 53:4,20	46:16 48:19	12:16 22:14
33:19 37:9	16:14 17:14	54:2,5	background	38:23
above-referen	18:10,11	ARLEYFLA	9:16 32:21	board 11:10,11
54:6	ahead 4:7 50:17	54:3	backing 38:22	13:21,23 26:7
absent 17:19	ahs 6:22	arrangements	bargained 13:11	35:14,17 41:24
45:3	allegation 34:8	54:7	bargaining	46:21
Absolutely 33:6	37:3,10 38:17	aside 17:20	10:22 13:6,8,9	boyfriend/fut
39:15,22	allegations 7:19	asked 7:9 29:13	15:5,8,15 16:8	23:10
access 38:23	16:2 34:7 38:3	29:17,24 41:8	32:7 34:25	break 7:1,2
accurate 34:22	38:5 42:20	42:3 43:11	barking 32:21	46:13
accurately 6:7	44:15 46:2	asking 7:6 8:7,8	Barroukh 2:2	breath 6:11
action 52:9,10	alleged 38:17	22:15 29:15,20	3:5 4:9,9 22:2	BRICKELL 2:3
actual 8:7	40:16	31:18	23:5 29:11,15	brief 8:25
addiction 21:9	alleging 39:1	assert 44:25	29:20 30:3,5	bring 13:22 14:9
addition 18:1	46:6	assignments	47:2,7,10 49:4	14:10 27:22
additional 17:21	allow 6:2	10:16 42:23	50:14	30:14
administrative	allowed 17:23	Assistant 9:23	base 19:4	broke 40:3
40:15	amount 14:19	10:3	based 7:6 16:1	BROWARD
advance 29:5	analyze 44:8,13	assume 44:9	21:6 22:8 24:3	51:3
30:9,25 32:17	45:4	assuming 40:7	29:17 35:19	Brown 34:17
advise 54:6	and/or 30:8	attorney 35:25	42:5 49:23	Buschell 17:2,3
advised 30:13	answer 6:10,12	44:18 52:8,9	50:2	17:5
45:13	22:4,6 30:7	Attorney's 9:7	basically 16:6	button 27:2
AEM 34:7 47:12	37:9 47:4 48:1	attorney-client	17:16	by-laws 11:21
47:14,16,18,21	48:9,10,11	44:22	basis 19:21	
48:7,16 49:16	answers 6:21	audible 6:21	22:13 45:19	
49:18,21,23	anticipate 6:9	August 9:18	beach 1:9 4:12	C 4:1
50:1	anybody 36:2	authorized 52:5	5:9 8:13 18:24	call 9:9 28:6,25
AEMs 49:12	38:13 40:24	avoid 33:3	19:1 23:7,16	40:21
Affairs 34:20	41:4 45:22,23	aware 15:13	23:19 25:5	called 15:6,18
38:7,23	APPEARANC	19:12 21:12	52:3 53:3 54:4	29:4 30:22
affirm 4:13	2:1	22:20 25:13	behalf 2:5,11	32:8 40:11
ago 42:8,14	appeared 51:5	27:17,23 29:2	4:11 44:4,16	42:23
agreed 2:17	APPEARING	32:1,3 43:4,20	45:5,17	capable 38:25
30:20	2:5,11	43:23,25 45:22	belief 31:1	capacities 11:12
agreement 13:6	appreciate 5:3	46:20 47:12	believe 13:14	11:13
13:8,11,14	approximately	D	27:25 29:20	Captain 10:6
15:5,9,15,18	24:14 25:12	$\frac{\mathbf{B}}{\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{B}}$	39:10 41:18	11:2 34:18,20
16:8,17 30:14	42:8	B 2:2 3:8	45:7 47:18,21	38:13
<u> </u>				

Page 56

				Page 36
cards 38:24	check-in 14:15	51:12	copy 50:13,14	COVID 14:17
care 25:23 40:12	chief 9:22,23	committee 11:10	correct 8:3,14	criminal 5:23
case 1:6 5:20	10:3 14:21	13:16,18,19,25	10:1,4,8,20,20	Cross 3:5 47:9
7:18 16:20	20:3,4,6,6,9	14:4,25 26:6	10:1,4,8,20,20	current 11:6
53:3	26:11 28:3	27:18 28:22	12:1,1 13:6,7,9	currently 5:8
cases 5:23 15:24	29:4,9,14,18	29:8 30:8	13:10,13 15:11	11:8 18:19
	29:4,9,14,18	31:22 35:9,11	15:19 20:7,8	11.0 10.19
caught 25:10 cause 15:6 16:9	· /	45:4,8,12	23:2,15,17	D
37:12	30:25 31:8,18	46:22 49:19	27:8 29:1 31:4	D 3:1 4:1
certain 13:9	32:3,12 33:22			Dan 20:5,9
	34:14 36:21,23	common 21:11	31:13,14,16	Daniel 2:2 4:9
14:19 25:2	37:22 41:9,20	24:7,9,10 32:6	32:1,5,12,13	50:13
39:20	41:23,25 43:1	32:15 35:16	33:11,13 34:3	DANIELB@D
certainly 39:19	43:6 48:20	37:8	36:8 37:17,18	2:5
CERTIFICATE	49:23	complaint 7:20	37:19 39:21,24	date 1:14 11:23
51:1 52:1	city 1:9 4:12	complete 52:7	39:25 40:5,6	53:4,20 54:1
certify 51:5 52:5	8:13,22 12:23	completed 19:19	40:13,14,23	54:20
52:8 chain 48:16	16:8 17:11	54:9	41:22 42:9,24	DATED 52:11
	23:16 25:5	compound 30:2	42:25 44:11,12	day 6:13 14:16
Chair 31:24	32:19,22 39:3	47:2	44:23 45:21	14:24 23:6,18
34:18 40:21	40:1,4 43:4,13	concluded 50:18	47:12,13 48:17	30:9 33:24
Chairman 16:19	43:16 46:6,23	conclusion	49:13,14	37:15 41:15
16:20,21 35:2	50:1 53:3 54:4	38:20 45:14	CORRECTION	51:6,8 52:11
35:11 37:17	City's 13:12	CONFEREN	53:6	days 54:9
chance 15:18,20	CityCenter 9:11	1:16	Cosner 24:1	dealing 17:11
15:25 16:1,3,6	civil 5:19 54:21	confers 13:8	28:4 34:9,18	deals 15:9
16:13,17 17:13	54:21	conflict 37:10	34:19 38:4	dealt 40:8
18:10 28:5,6	clarify 6:25	connected 52:9	41:7,8,20,23	decide 13:21
29:9,13,25	clearer 5:19	considered	42:1,2 43:1,6	decision-maker
30:14,19 31:2	Clements 3:10	54:10	Cosner's 38:16	43:7
31:5,9,9 32:1	20:6 26:11	consists 10:23	47:12	decisions 27:18
38:12 39:24	33:22	13:19	counsel 2:5,11	30:16
43:6,17 44:6	Clements' 29:5	conspiracy	2:18 4:3,7	declare 53:18
44:14 48:21	Collective 13:6,8	46:21	17:24 22:5	defendant 1:11
chances 16:5	15:5,8,15 16:8	contact 33:9	52:8,9	2:11 4:12
change 28:8	collectively	54:7	counter 38:5	Definitely 35:10
changes 9:21	29:25	contained 49:16	countering 38:9	Delvin 41:18,20
18:20 53:2	come 28:11 36:6	contents 49:16	COUNTY 51:3	demoted 20:11
Chaplain 12:20	43:2,10	context 12:23	52:3	denied 27:21
Chapter 39:4,17	comfort 35:8	contract 13:2,5	couple 24:12	36:19,20
characterizati	comfortable	contradicted	course 21:25	denying 36:23
16:11	20:20 28:18	38:25	court 1:3,18	denying 30.23 department 5:9
charge 16:19	command 48:16	conversation	5:25 6:5,12,19	8:12,13,22 9:1
43:2,8,10,18	commander	29:18	14:13,14,15,19	9:19 21:12,18
43:20 45:25	38:7 Commission	conversations 44:10	52:5,15 54:16 courthouse 9:12	23:17 24:21
chat 50:6	Commission	1 44.10	courthouse 9:12	25.1, 21.21
	l	1	1	1

Page	57

				Tage 37
29:1 43:15	35:3	29:24 30:4,6	ex-husband	fellow 19:5,6
49:2	disciplined	33:18 46:12,16	23:11	31:22 32:11
		46:18 47:3,6	exactly 18:8	felt 20:20 28:18
depends 9:23 discrimination		47:23,25 48:2	42:13	female 19:17
deponent 2:19	45:25	48:8,11,15	Examination 3:4	20:19 28:16,19
53:4 54:20	discuss 34:6	49:7,10 50:4	3:5,6 4:21 47:9	35:7 37:19
deposed 5:12,19	discussed 27:17		49:9	females 20:23
5:22		employed 5:8 8:21		file 14:3 32:17
_	46:10	_	example 10:17	
deposition 1:13	DISTRICT 1:3	employee 8:3	14:12 26:2	33:9 35:16
2:19 3:9 6:20	1:4	12:25 16:17	excluding 27:13	44:3,16 45:5
7:13 33:16	DIVISION 1:5	18:6,24 19:1	executive 8:7	filed 34:8 43:17
50:18 52:1,5	document 33:19	32:17,24 33:4	11:10,11 13:20	47:19,22
54:5,6,19	53:18	33:5 34:8	13:23 35:14,17	filing 43:8
Deputy 34:14	dog's 32:21	35:16 52:8,9	46:21	financial 11:22
DEREK 2:2	doing 31:18	employee-at-w	executives 49:21	financially
describe 12:14	double-check	31:15	exhibit 3:10	52:10
19:3	28:1 46:14	employees 10:22	33:15,16 50:6	finding 38:25
description 3:9	doubt 42:16	10:23 11:2	experience	43:5
8:25	Doug 34:17	12:24 13:9	18:13 35:19	findings 38:10
designated	DRIVE 2:3	17:13 18:9,14	Expires 51:12	38:13 42:17
17:16	drop 50:6	21:24,24 22:9	explain 31:5,9	fine 5:2 7:1
detached 9:6	due 25:5	34:25	38:5	20:13 22:5
detachments dues 17:19,20,20		employment 9:1	explanation	32:11
10:16	duly 4:20 51:6	45:6,24	31:13,19	finish 6:11
details 23:20	duties 10:16	ended 24:18,18		fired 31:12
detective 10:18	duty 42:22,23	ensure 11:22	F	37:23
determination		ENTER 53:2	F-l-a-h-e-r-t-y	first 4:20 6:5
14:25	E	entire 35:17	5:7	7:12 8:8 11:14
determine 14:5	E 3:1,8 4:1,1	entries 38:24	fact 37:12 44:7	11:15 12:3,4,5
44:15	53:1,1,1	enumerable	49:11,15	12:11,12,18
determined	earlier 49:11	5:16,23	facts 49:16	13:21 14:21
45:19	earned 22:16	errata 54:12	53:18	22:23 28:10,24
different 5:18	EEOC 43:2,8,10	especially 14:16	fair 16:11 35:21	31:23,25 34:4
6:1 10:15	43:18,20 45:25	ESQ 2:2,8	familiar 9:11	34:16 35:1
11:12	effectively 31:15	essentially 34:1	15:20 23:25	37:16 40:20
Direct 3:4 4:21	eight 42:8	46:23	34:9 39:16,19	44:9,24
directly 25:21	either 24:24	establish 16:9	40:15 46:2,5,8	five 9:14,15
disciplinary	25:10 26:4	Estevez 25:16	familiarity	46:12,13
12:24 14:10	43:6,11,12	Estevez's 25:18	15:22	FL 1:9
17:13 32:15	Eldon 28:4	evaluation 27:7	far 10:1	Flaherty 1:13
33:10 35:18	elected 21:17	27:10,11	favor 31:18	3:3 4:19,24 5:6
37:6	Elkins 2:8 3:4,6	everybody 27:19	February 5:11	29:22,23 47:11
discipline 13:1	4:6,11,11,22	41:12 48:13	Federal 54:10	51:5 52:6 53:4
14:11 16:10	17:5,7 22:3	everybody's	54:21	53:20 54:2,5
26:25 27:1,14	23:9 29:12,16	12:17	feel 14:11 28:18	Florida 1:4,10
20.23 27.1,17	, , ,	12.1/		I 1011Ma 1T,10
	l	l	I	l

3 8

				Page 58
1.10 2.4 0 51.2	four 9:14	go 4:7 6:1 13:1	18:18 23:11	Huh 25:25
1:18 2:4,9 51:2 51:4,11 52:2	Fraternal 8:14	14:21 15:1		human 9:5
54:10 Fraternal 8.14 free 6:25		21:16 26:3	36:5,7 53:3 54:4	14:23
follow 42:19,19 frequently 18:3		29:6 32:8 Guasto's 7:20		humanly 6:3
followed 42:15 18:21				husband 36:18
follows 4:20	full 5:4 12:16	41:13,14 44:25 48:14 50:17	guess 7:8,9 16:2 20:3,19,22	36:24 37:6
48:16	25:18	God 4:16	21:18 37:11	husband/boyf
followups 49:7 functioning		goes 14:23 24:14	42:5 44:5	36:5
FOP 8:5,10	14:16	going 6:1,9,13	guidance 44:19	husband/now
10:22 11:7			guide 20:2	23:11
12:15,22 13:3	50:4 52:8	12:6 19:7	guide 20.2 guy 24:24	23.11
13:17 16:16,22	30.4 32.0	20:22 21:5	guys 30:12	I
16:23 17:8,13	G	26:13,15,18	guys 30.12	i.e 16:8 21:24
17:16,17,19,23	$\overline{\mathbf{G}4:1}$	30:14,18 31:2	\mathbf{H}	22:9
19:7 26:3,21	Garbuz 1:17	31:5 33:14,14	H 3:8 53:1	idea 39:19
27:21 29:4,7	51:4,10 52:5	39:11,13,20	handles 17:8	identification
29:25 30:8	52:15 54:16	48:14 50:5	happen 15:17	33:17
31:21,22 32:11	Gene 17:1,9,10	Good 4:24,25	18:3 20:15	imagine 31:20
32:15 33:7	17:11,12 18:1	gotten 14:18	32:9 35:20	50:3
34:15,16 35:1	18:4,9,15	41:25	49:12	immediately
35:17 36:13	40:11,13,21	grants 9:11	happened 18:5	40:11
39:3 40:8,13	44:25 45:3	great 6:6	22:24 34:1	implemented
40:19 44:3,8	Gene's 17:21	grievance 13:15	36:17 37:25	43:16
44:13,22 45:4	general 14:7	13:16,18,19,25	48:5	implementing
45:14,16 46:21	21:14 37:7	14:4,25 15:1	happens 17:10	43:4
49:18,21	44:2	16:21 26:6	head 6:21	important 7:24
FOP's 40:2	generally 9:20	27:18,21 28:17	hear 21:6,6 22:4	improper 39:4
foray 28:25	9:22 10:15	28:22 29:8	23:3,14 48:3	39:10,13 41:2
foregoing 52:6	12:22 14:25	30:8 31:22,24	heard 23:1,18	46:7
53:18	16:7 17:12	34:18 35:2,9	43:15 45:22	in-house 16:23
forgot 6:17	18:9 19:3 33:3	35:11,12 37:4	46:20,25	included 42:21
16:20	37:5	37:17 40:9,20	hearsay 21:5	including 31:22
form 22:2,5 23:5	genesis 19:21	44:3,6,7,10,16	24:5	inclusion 54:12
29:11,12,14,19	Gibbons 17:1	45:4,5,7,12,15	held 34:6	indicate 30:21
30:1,3 47:25	40:11,13,22	46:22 49:18	help 4:16 14:2	indicating 45:23
48:8	give 4:14 6:20,21	grievances 14:2	hey 26:4	information
formerly 18:18	7:8 8:25 12:16	14:5 19:7,9,11	HH 51:12	24:17,20,23
FORT 2:9	15:14 20:16	27:16,22 28:22	hierarchy 9:19	25:4,9
forth 13:1 23:13	28:8 31:5,8	49:2	hire 17:23	initial 15:1
Forty-eight 8:18	33:21 35:7	grieve 16:9	history 9:1	injust 14:12
forward 15:2	46:12	ground 6:2	hold 6:11 28:1	Inner 12:19
27:22	given 16:1,3	grounds 44:7	47:25 50:14	input 27:10,14
forwarded	19:14 27:3	GROUP 2:2	homework	interact 18:25
54:11,12	31:9	Guard 12:20,20	38:21	interactions
found 43:16	giving 20:1	Guasto 1:7 3:10	hopefully 6:2	19:4

				Tage 37
interest 13:24	41:16 42:22	LAW 2:2,8	March 1:14 51:6	3:10 33:22
37:11	43:12,13 45:5	lawsuit 46:3	51:8 53:4 54:1	mentioned 47:11
interested 52:10	46:24	lawyer 16:23	mark 33:15	mentoring 19:15
internal 12:14 Jessica's 43:2		17:16 40:13	marked 33:16	19:19,20 20:14
34:20 38:7,23	44:4,13 45:17	44:22,24 45:1 matter 4:14		merit 14:5 15:1
interrogated	45:23	lawyers 18:6	14:10 46:24	45:15
39:20	Jones 34:14	46:23	matters 17:13	met 41:9,11,11
interrogation	July 51:12	leaves 37:23	32:15	41:12,20 43:12
39:4,11,13	July 51.12	left 20:25 21:1	mean 11:25 23:1	Miami 1:5,9 2:4
40:10,10 41:2	K	25:2,4,7,14	24:10 26:6	4:12 5:9 8:13
invade 44:21	keep 26:16 47:8	Lester 34:17	37:5	23:16 25:5
invalidate 49:15	key 2:3 38:24	35:9 39:8	meeting 26:9,19	53:3 54:4
involved 15:13	kind 6:11 7:8	let's 11:16 27:24	27:25 28:3,4,5	Michael 2:8 4:11
	12:15 21:3		1 ' '	50:17
16:13,16 19:14	36:11,13	41:13,14 46:12	28:6,11,17,20	mind 20:3
28:17 35:7,12 37:2 40:17	knew 25:1	letter 43:5,16	28:24 29:5,9 29:19 30:10,17	mini 20:3 mini 50:5,6
49:3	know 5:21 14:15	54:6,9 level 14:21 48:14	31:1 32:7,9,12	minutes 46:12
involvement	14:16,20,22	lieutenant 10:8	32:16,20,22	46:13
28:21	18:18,21,22,23	10:15,24 21:24	33:8,10,12	Misconduct 34:8
issue 29:19 30:1	19:11 20:2,18	22:10 24:1	34:2,5,6,11,13	missing 14:13,18
30:3 35:15	20:19 21:16,17	34:16,19 38:4	34:23 35:18,22	mistaken 23:8
issued 26:25	24:25 25:16,18	lieutenants 20:2	35:24 37:21	MLE 2:8
27:1	26:3,4 28:19	line 38:8,8	38:1 39:2,11	moment 8:20
issues 12:24	30:21 31:8	list 34:22	39:23 40:1,4	money 9:6 17:21
25:21,21 28:25	32:25 33:1	little 5:2,19 6:1	40:10,21 41:2	morning 4:24,25
23.21,21 20.23	35:7,15 38:8,9	9:5 12:16	41:5,8,9,16,21	motors 10:17
J	39:8 40:12	22:14 38:23	43:11,13,21,23	move 40:19
jammed 25:11	41:23 42:3,5	local 11:25	43:25 48:19	multiple 19:11
January 26:8,19	42:15,16,19	lodge 8:16 11:23	meetings 32:14	21:20,21 22:18
27:13,15,20,24	44:18,20 50:9	11:25	35:3 37:6	MUNICIPAL
28:1,2,20	50:15	long 5:10 8:21	48:23 49:1	1:10
30:10 31:1	knowledge 7:7	26:16 42:14	MELKINS@	1.10
34:6 41:15	13:23 19:4	longer 19:18,24	2:10	N
48:19	21:11 22:8	24:15	member 8:5,6,7	N 2:15 3:1 4:1
jeopardized	24:4,7,9,10,20	look 14:8 33:4	8:8 11:8 13:4	name 5:4,7 17:5
37:3	29:17 49:24	38:16	13:22,25 26:20	18:20 25:16,17
Jessica 1:7 18:18	50:2	lot 6:10	32:7 36:14	25:18 53:4
18:19,20 20:10	known 18:19,19		39:3	names 4:4,8
21:14 22:16	22:9	M	members 13:20	41:19
23:23 24:1,21		Majors 10:4	14:1 17:17,19	narcotics 9:4
25:3,6,21	L	management 8:2	17:23 29:4,8	necessarily 21:4
26:20 27:21	L 2:8,15	11:2 12:23	30:8 31:22	47:24
28:21,25 29:5	labels 28:8	13:12 33:4,9	32:12 41:24	necessary 53:17
33:22 35:21,25	LAUDERDA	35:3 46:23	46:22	need 7:1 28:8
37:22 41:1,12	2:9	50:1	memorandum	needed 35:10
	laundering 9:7			
	1	I	I	I

Page	60

-				Page 60
novem 10.17	10.19 14.2 5 0	andinarily 25.1	nameomally 20.14	20.9 21.22 22
never 19:17	10:18 14:3,5,9	ordinarily 35:1	personally 38:14	29:8 31:23,23
20:21,23 26:23	15:9 19:5	organization 22:19	51:5	31:24,25 34:15
26:25 27:2,7,7	36:10 39:16,20		personnel 27:7 27:11	34:16,17 35:1
27:10 33:8	47:22 48:5,13	organizational 12:15		35:1,2,6 37:16
35:15 37:8	officers 8:12		picked 14:2	37:16,17 38:15
48:25 Nicholas 22:11	10:23 15:14	original 54:11	picture 12:16	40:19,20,20
Nicholas 23:11	22:24 25:2,4,7	Outer 12:20	PLACE 1:16	41:16 44:9
23:12,12 36:7	25:12 42:21 Ob 26:14	outlines 34:1	PLAINTIFF 1:8	45:13 46:22
night 5:2 42:22	Oh 26:14	Ozaeta 34:15	2:5	presume 5:22
nine 9:3 42:8	okay 4:24 5:22	P	plaintiffs 4:10	34:9
Nineteenth 28:2	6:3,4,14 7:5,12	P 2:15 4:1	played 38:18	pretty 11:19
nod 6:21	7:17,19,24 8:2	PAGE 3:2,9	please 4:4,7 5:5	15:24,25 16:12
non-managem	8:10,25 11:5,9	PAGE/LINE	48:3 54:7 PLLC 2:2	26:15 38:7,22
21:23 22:9	11:13 14:7	53:6		previous 11:9
nonsense 29:24	15:8,22 17:12	pages 52:6	plot 46:21	previously 16:14
normal 35:4,18	18:9,18 19:9	PALM 52:3	Plus 37:19	Prieto 34:19
normally 49:1	20:4,6,13 21:6	part 9:4,15 11:9	podcast 23:1,3	38:7,13
NORTHEAST	21:11 22:20,23	11:11 13:22	23:14	primarily 17:8
2:9 Notowy 1:19	23:3 24:23	16:21 17:10	podcasts 22:20	prior 12:8 27:15
Notary 1:18	26:13,14,17	19:7 26:6,6	point 7:13 31:16	27:20 28:20
51:4,11	27:24 28:24	27:25 44:5,9	35:24 37:21	30:17 31:6
notes 52:7	29:7 30:4,20	45:9,10,12	39:2 41:1 43:1	32:6 34:11
Number 3:10	30:25 31:21	particular 21:13	police 5:9 8:11	41:8,15
8:16 33:16	32:6 33:14,21	22:21 23:18	8:12,13,14,22	private 17:24
0	33:21 34:1,4	39:23	9:1,19,22	privilege 44:22
O2:15 4:1	34:13 35:21,24	parties 2:18 52:8	10:12,14,17,23	44:25
O-301 2:3	36:11,17,22	54:12	10:23 21:11 23:17 29:1	privy 15:24
OATH 51:1	37:21 38:12,16	parties' 52:9		probably 5:25 6:9 33:24
Oats 20:5,9	39:10 40:18	partner 17:1	39:16 49:2 50:1	
object 22:5 23:5	41:4,13,14	partners 21:20		problem 29:14
objection 22:2	46:15 49:8	partners 21.20 party 54:12	position 12:18	36:22,23 47:21
29:11,12 47:2	once 9:8 24:5	party 34.12 patrol 9:2	possible 6:3	procedure 13:15
47:23,25 48:8	54:12	Paul 28:3 34:14	potential 14:4	54:21,21
obligation 32:3	one's 25:16,17	41:18,19	practice 37:8	proceed 4:18
obviously 7:6	ones 14:2 19:13	pay 17:20,21	present 19:12	process 14:7 40:16
12:25 19:5	25:1,1 29:3	penalties 53:18	29:2,3 31:24	
29:2 44:18,25	opportunity	pending 7:2	32:24 33:7	program 19:15
occasion 23:22	18:25 19:15	pending 7.2 people 6:7 22:18	34:2,5,13,22	19:19,21 20:15
26:20 30:9	20:1,17 33:3	24:21 46:23	35:17 36:18	promoted 9:8,17
occasions 15:14	38:4	Perfect 7:5 11:5	37:6,17 41:16	9:18 20:10
occurred 28:1	opposing 22:5	perjury 53:18	President 11:15	proof 14:12,19
office 9:7 29:5	order 8:14 15:1	perjury 55.18 person 16:19	12:3,4,5,11,12	14:22 38:9
54:7	50:5	personal 7:7	12:12,13,18,18	properly 14:15
officer 10:12,14	ordering 54:12	25:20	12:19 13:21,21	prostitution 9:5
011101 10.12,14	54:12	25.20	26:4 28:13,15	Public 1:18 51:4

Page	61
------	----

				Page 61
51.11		25.12.10.26.0	43:16	
51:11 Prod 17:20	receipt 54:9	25:12,19 36:9 38:14 41:24		S
Put 17:20	received 54:12 Recess 46:17	REMOTE 1:16	resolve 40:16 resolved 14:23	S 2:15,15 3:8 4:1
Q	recollection	repeat 6:25	resources 14:23	53:1
question 5:18	37:25 38:2	-		Salabarria
6:12,25 7:2		rephrase 22:6	respect 30:17	18:19,20
22:4,6,7 29:13	recommend 27:3	report 52:5 REPORTED	respective 2:18 response 48:3	saw 42:18
29:14,19 30:2	recommended	1:17	restate 48:4	saying 35:25
30:5,7 33:4	27:4	reporter 1:18	retaliated 46:6	says 15:25 34:5
41:8	record 4:5,8 5:4	_		34:13
questions 6:10	49:1 52:7	4:3,7,13,18 6:5	retaliating 43:7	second 4:23 6:17
7:6 41:4,7		6:13,19 50:8	retaliation 42:5	6:18 12:13,18
46:19 47:7	recorded 48:24	50:12,16 52:5	46:7	15:4 28:9
quick 47:8	48:25	52:15 54:16	review 11:21,21	31:23 33:21
quickly 6:3,10	recruitment	REPORTER'S	14:4 38:12	34:17 35:2,6
32:20,23	9:16	52:1	52:6 54:8,9	37:16 40:20
34.40,43	Redirect 3:6	represent 4:4,8	reviewed 49:18	41:13
R	49:9	12:25 13:3	49:21,23 50:1	Secretary 12:19
R 4:1 53:1,1	refer 19:9	17:12 26:3,20	riding 24:7	see 22:22 33:19
railroad 46:24	referring 13:5	30:22,23	right 4:9 5:16,21	33:24 34:20
rank 10:24	19:10 26:8	representation	6:17,24 8:16	36:22 38:19
21:24 22:9	refused 27:22	37:13	8:18 9:24 10:6	47:16
32:16 33:9	regard 54:10	representative	10:10,18,25	seen 22:24 24:6
35:16 36:9	regarding 22:21	16:16 32:24	11:3 12:6	33:23
RDAing 9:10	35:3 49:1	33:5	13:12,15 15:18	send 50:17
reached 45:14	Reggie 28:4	representatives	16:14 24:15	sense 6:15 7:3
read 34:11 47:14	34:16 35:9	16:18 32:8,16	25:19 28:9	35:7
47:15 50:9,11	39:8 41:18,19	33:7	33:25 37:5	separately 29:21
53:18 54:7	regular 9:2	represented	41:17 46:16	separation 45:6
reading 2:19	rehab 20:25	35:22,23	47:17 49:4	45:23
54:18	21:2,3,7,8,13	represents 8:11	rights 13:9	sergeant 4:13,24
ready 4:3,6	21:16	reprimand 27:3	15:14 16:7	9:17 10:10,14
real 6:2	reinstated 20:11	38:3	39:17	10:17 19:6,25
REASON 53:6	related 45:24	reprimanded	road 9:8	19:25 20:10,17
reasonable	relates 12:23	16:3	Rob 17:11	29:22,23 47:11
54:10	relating 18:10	reputation 21:18	Robert 17:3,9	50:9
reasons 28:12	45:5	21:19,23 22:8	role 11:6 12:2,22	Sergeant-at-A
recall 5:21 11:18	relationship	22:13,17	36:13	12:20
15:6,7 19:24	23:25 24:4,18	request 36:2,4	room 41:22	sergeants 20:2
20:12,25 24:16	25:3,5,8 42:6,7	36:18,23	Rule 54:21,22	services 17:21
25:14,17 28:2	relationships	requested 52:6	rules 6:2 54:10	set 13:1
28:4 33:25	22:21	required 31:8	rumors 42:5,7	settlement 18:10
35:8,25 36:15	relative 44:14	requirements	running 11:23	44:5,14
38:6 39:9	52:8,9	39:21	11:24	sex 21:8,9,13,16
41:22 43:14,24	remember 12:17	reserved 2:20	runs 9:20,22	SGT 1:13 3:3
47:17 48:25	17:2 23:20	resignation 43:5		4:19 51:5 52:5
77.17 70.23				

r				Page 62	
52.4.20.54.2		21.4.22.14	45.17.46.7	4: 15.0	
53:4,20 54:2	specialized 9:12	21:4 22:14	45:17 46:7	tired 5:2	
shadow 20:21	specific 7:22	28:6,16 42:4	terms 32:14	title 12:11	
Shame 12:17	25:9	42:15 TERRACE 2:9		today 5:1 7:7	
she'll 37:23	specifically 7:9	suspension 27:4	testified 4:20	told 20:20 21:17	
shortly 26:15	spoke 29:21 45:1	swear 4:5,13,17	49:11	25:22,24 26:1	
show 14:14,19	squad 9:4	swing 20:18	testify 7:22	30:15,25 37:22	
14:22 33:14	standard 15:10	sworn 4:20	testimony 5:25	top 9:21,22	
38:10	start 11:16	10:24 51:7	Thank 49:4	49:21	
showed 22:22,25	started 9:2		thing 6:5 7:12	trafficking 9:5	
shows 13:24	starts 33:8	T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	8:18 34:4 38:6	transcribe 6:7	
32:24	state 1:18 4:4,7	T 2:15,15 3:8	things 10:18	transcribed 54:7	
side 53:17	5:4 9:7 51:2,4	53:1,1	20:18 25:11	transcript 50:10	
sign 48:5 54:7,7	51:11 52:2	take 7:2 14:20	28:9 46:14	52:6,6 53:2	
signature 47:22	stated 30:3	16:19 46:13	think 8:16 12:15	54:6,8,9,11,13	
signed 47:16,18	53:18	taken 40:12	17:2 19:18,23	54:19	
49:13,15 51:8	statements 4:14	46:17 54:6	19:25 23:6	Treasurer 12:19	
signing 2:19	11:22	talk 7:17,19 15:5	38:14 43:11	tried 40:12	
54:18	STATES 1:3	15:22 27:24	44:1,1 49:11	true 52:6 53:18	
signs 48:13	Statute 54:10	29:9,13 30:9	thought 41:2	truly 54:14	
similar 37:2,9	stenographic	30:12 32:4	three 9:9 11:24	Trustee 11:14	
38:22	52:7	34:4 43:1	12:21 13:19	11:16,17,19	
simple 6:2	Steven 24:1 34:9	talked 44:2,17	14:1 19:16,16	Trustees 12:21	
sir 23:21	47:12	44:21 45:3	19:17 20:23	truth 4:15,15,15	
situation 33:2	stipulated 2:17	talking 6:7 23:6	35:14	7:25	
44:13	stop 40:21	23:7,13,16,19	Tim 6:6,7 17:5	truthful 38:11	
six 8:18 9:14	stopped 40:9	33:5 42:21	50:5	try 14:20 26:2	
sleeping 22:18	Strike 8:18	task 9:7	time 1:15 5:3 6:8	trying 31:19	
24:10	structure 12:15	tell 7:24,25 11:6	6:24 7:1 9:4,6	Twenty 8:24	
slept 24:21	struggle 6:13	14:7 16:20	14:13,19 19:8	two 6:7 9:9	
SMITH 2:2	subject 16:17	19:20,20 26:4	19:15,24 20:3	11:11 15:24,24	
solidifies 33:8	submitted 48:7	30:16 31:20	20:4,9 24:16	16:13 18:6	
somebody 13:23	suggested 54:9	39:3 42:23	24:25 28:13	25:14 28:12	
25:1	SUITE 2:3	45:1 48:20	29:1 31:21,25	46:19	
sorry 32:10,21	supervise 23:22	telling 42:15	34:14,15,18,19	two-year 12:8	
39:6	26:24	term 12:6,8,9,10	35:9,24 36:9	12:10	
sort 46:20	supervised	terminate 46:24	36:15 37:4	type 13:1 23:13	
sound 24:15	26:23	terminated	38:4 39:2 41:1	28:17,17 42:4	
SOUTHERN	supervisor 9:16	15:10 30:18	41:23 42:14	typical 24:24	
1:4	32:8 36:11	31:3 44:4	43:21 44:9	typical 24.24 typically 48:7,16	
speak 7:12,15	supervisors	48:20	47:15	typicany 70.7,10	
29:25 33:1	19:16,17	terminating	times 5:14,23	U	
speaking 9:20	supposed 11:20	32:4	19:23 26:2	U 2:15	
10:15 12:22	11:23 20:15	termination	Timofey 1:17	ultimately 20:10	
16:7 19:3	48:23	16:10 27:4	51:4,10 52:5	20:24 45:16	
29:22	sure 5:6 11:19	30:21 31:6	52:15 54:16	ums 6:21	
L7.LL	Suit J.U 11.17	20.2121.0	J4.13 J4.10		
	l	l		l	

Page	63
_ 0. 9, 0	~ ~

				Page 63
unable 48:5	viewpoint 40:2	wives 25:10	1-50 52:6	33304 2:9
unclear 18:20	violate 16:4	women 23:6	1.310(e) 54:22	33304 2.9
understand 6:22	violated 30:13	Wonderful 50:8	1:22-cv-21004	4
6:24 7:10,11	30:19 31:1	50:12,16	1:6 53:3	43:4
9:20 17:19	48:21	word 16:2 20:22	10:12 1:15 50:18	47 3:5
32:10	violation 13:2	37:11	112 39:4,17 40:7	48 8:16
understanding	40:8 43:5,17	words 27:21	40:16	49 3:6
8:2 10:21	violations 40:16	38:5	1212 2:9	
20:14,16 22:12	voluntary 43:5	work 17:8,12	14th 52:11	5
22:16 24:3	VS 1:8	18:9 50:7	15 24:15	5 51:12
Understood		worked 5:2,10	16TH 2:9	520 2:3
40:18	W	20:15	19 31:1	
union 8:11 11:20	waive 16:7 50:10	works 39:17	19th 28:2 30:10	6
13:12 32:7	54:7,18	world 23:14	34:6 41:15	68:17
unionized 8:13	Waiver 54:17	wouldn't 31:20	48:19	688-2335 2:4
unions 11:25	want 7:9 12:8	37:8 44:6		7
unique 35:18	26:5,16 32:23	WRITE 53:2	2	786 2:4
unit 9:3,13,16	34:4 44:20,21	write-up 16:10	20 5:11 8:22	760 2.4
10:17,22,23	46:14 50:5	42:18	12:9,9	8
13:9 22:25	wanted 19:16	writes 48:12	20-plus 8:22	
32:7 34:25	20:16,19 35:25	written 14:18	2014 24:14	9
UNITED 1:3	36:5 42:3	27:2 33:22	2015 9:18	9:15 1:15
use 18:3 53:17	wants 14:3	wrong 10:22	2020 12:9 43:2,8	954)401-2608
usually 11:21	warranted 44:10		43:10,18,20	2:10
13:3,20,20	wasn't 14:15	X	45:25	954)523-5326
14:9 16:18	36:11 37:12	X 3:1,8	2021 12:9 26:8	54:7
18:3 48:12,18	39:12 45:15	•	27:13,15,20,24	
l	49:15	Y	28:2,14,20,24	
V	way 7:22 44:25	yeah 28:23	30:10 31:1	
V 53:3 54:4	48:13	32:11 35:13	34:6 48:19	
vague 29:20	Wayne 28:3	41:19 44:20	2022 12:7,9	
30:3,5	34:14	48:11	2024 1:14 12:7	
valid 45:5	we'll 8:20 14:20	year 5:11 12:6	51:6,8 52:11	
verbal 27:3	14:20 28:25	28:13	53:4 54:1	
vice 9:4 11:15	50:14	years 5:11 8:22	2026 51:12	
12:3,4,5,11,12	we're 9:11	8:23,24 9:3,9	28 1:14 53:4	
12:13,18,19	We've 48:25	9:14,15 11:18	54:1	
13:21 28:12,15	week 7:16	42:8	284028 51:12	
31:23,23,25	went 9:8,9 38:2	$\overline{\mathbf{z}}$	28th 51:6,8	
34:16,17 35:1	38:8 44:18	Zoom 1:16 51:6	3	
35:2,6 37:16	witness 3:2 4:5		30 54:9	
37:16 40:20,20	4:17 23:6	0		
44:9 45:13	46:15 47:24		30(e)Florida 54:21	
video 6:20	48:10,12 49:6	11	33 3:10	
view 39:23	49:8 50:11	1 3:10 33:15,16	33131-2433 2:4	
			33131 -24 33 2.4	
<u> </u>				

Case 1:22-cv-21004-MD Document 69-20 Entered on FLSD Docket 06/03/202





TO: Sergeant, Jessica Salabarria

FROM: Rick Clements, Chief of Police

DATE: January 25, 2021

RE: Implementing Letter of Resignation

On December 18, 2020, you entered into a Last Chance Agreement ("Agreement"). A copy of that Agreement is attached as Exhibit A. Additionally, as part of the Agreement, on that same date you signed a Letter of Resignation ("Resignation"). A copy of that Resignation is attached as Exhibit B.

Based on the violations outlined below, and per the Agreement, I am implementing the Resignation, effective immediately and as of the date indicated on Resignation attached as Exhibit B.

Specifically, on December 30, 2020, Lieutenant, Steven Cosner submitted an Allegation of Employee Misconduct ("AEM"). A copy of the AEM is attached as Exhibit C. The allegations of the AEM are incorporated in full herein.

On January 19, 2021, I held a meeting with you to discuss the allegations in the AEM. In addition to you and I, also present at that meeting was:

- Wayne Jones, Deputy Chief
- Paul Ozaeta, Lieutenant (FOP President)
- Arley Flaherty, Sergeant (FOP First Vice President)
- Reggie Lester, Sergeant (FOP Second Vice President)
- Delvin Brown, Lieutenant (FOP Grievance Chairman)
- Steven Cosner, Lieutenant
- A.J. Prieto, Captain Internal Affairs.

During the meeting, you were provided a copy of the "AEM" and given the opportunity to review the "AEM" in full. After your review, you informed me that, despite being assigned to the North District, you did not report to the North District. Instead, you remained in your assigned City vehicle, stationary at the City's main police station. You further informed me that while in your vehicle at the police station (for what was at or around four (4) plus hours) you worked on administrative issues (an employee evaluation

for Police Officer Vincent Stella) and worked on your "school work." The "school work" at issue is not work assigned by the City. You informed me that, independent from the City, you are working toward a Master's Degree.

Further, you acknowledged that you missed two radio calls specifically to you from Lieutenant Cosner and a phone call from Lieutenant Cosner to your personal cell phone. Ultimately, you did not respond to the North End Sub-Station (in the North District) until approximately 4:20 a.m., and only after being told to do so by Lieutenant Cosner. You further acknowledged that despite receiving an email from Lieutenant Cosner at approximately 3:20 a.m. directing you to have certain officers perform certain assignments, you did not issue the assignments until after 4:20 a.m., and only after speaking with Lieutenant Cosner. You again indicated to me that prior to speaking to Lieutenant Cosner at 4:20 a.m., you were focused on the employee evaluation and your independent school work.

In speaking with Lieutenant Cosner during this meeting, he indicated, among other things that you informed him that you had conveyed the assignment details, when in fact, you had not. You conveyed the assignment details after speaking to Lieutenant Cosner despite telling him you had already done so.

Moreover, Lieutenant Cosner indicated in this meeting that you did not leave the main police station during most of your shift and only left the police station when instructed to do so by Lieutenant Cosner.

The above is only a summary of the events of the January 19, 2021 meeting.

After the meeting, the City reviewed your City issued laptop computer, which is the computer that you would have used to work on Officer Stella's evaluation. A review of the laptop shows that Officer Stella's evaluation was not created until January 10, 2021. The City's Information Technology Department confirmed that Officer Stella's evaluation was not created or saved anywhere else on the City's system.

Pursuant to paragraph 4 of the Agreement, I determine that you have not complied with the Agreement and engaged in conduct that is beyond an individual, discreet or minor policy violation. Pursuant to paragraph 4 of the Agreement, my decision in this regard is not subject to review or explanation. Accordingly, as stated above, I am implementing your Resignation.

EXHIBIT A

LAST CHANCE AGREEMENT

THIS LAST CHANCE AGREEMENT is entered into between the CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA (hereinafter, the "City"), FRATERNAL ORDER OF POLICE, (hereinafter, "the Union") and JESSICA SALABARRIA (hereinafter, "SALABARRIA" or "Employee").

WHEREAS, SALABARRIA is employed by the City as a Police Officer in the City's Police Department.

WHEREAS, SALABARRIA is subject to the terms and conditions of employment contained in the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the Union and the City effective October 1, 2018 through September 30, 2021;

WHEREAS, SALABARRIA is the subject of an Internal Affairs ("IA") Investigation, IA Case Number 2020-010, which arose from SALABARRIA's involvement in not being on-duty when she was supposed to be, and from SALABARRIA's claims of being on-duty in the City when she was actually outside the City;

WHEREAS, the City wishes to continue to employ Employee, Employee wishes to continue to be employed by the City, and the FOP desires for Employee to continue to be employed under the terms and conditions described herein; and

WHEREAS, the Employee admits that she committed misconduct in association with IA Case Number 2020-010 and was in violation of numerous City and Police Department policies and the City Personnel Rules for the Classified Service; and

WHEREAS, the purposes of this Agreement, with which all the Parties concur, include: to protect and preserve the integrity of the Police Department and all its officers and to give Employee the opportunity to further and support that purpose; and to give Employee the

CITY

Page 1 of 7

UNION JESSICA

opportunity to rehabilitate herself personally and as a police sergeant for the City and this Department; and to give Employee an opportunity to preserve her career.

NOW, THEREFORE, without establishing precedent for any purpose and intending to be bound, the Parties agree as follows:

- 1. All of the above statements are true and correct to the best of the Parties' belief and knowledge and for a five (5) year period beginning with the execution of this Agreement by all parties, SALABARRIA will be subject to the provisions of this Agreement.
- 2. During this period, SALABARRIA must be on-duty and in the City limits during all of her scheduled shifts unless given prior authorization. For the avoidance of confusion, this means that, during the terms of this Agreement, SALABARRIA shall not be outside the City limits when she is on-duty, shall not tell the City she's on-duty when she is outside the City's limits, and shall not leave before the end of her scheduled shift, unless given prior authorization.
- 3. Additionally, SALABARRIA shall refrain from violating any City or Police Department policies, rules or regulations; Standard Operating Procedures ("SOPs") or Personnel Rules, all of which (including any amendments or additions) are incorporated herein by reference. In any instance during which City or Police Department policies, procedures or Personnel Rules differ from or conflict with the stipulations set forth in the applicable collective bargaining agreement, the City or Police Department policies, procedures or Personnel Rules shall prevail.
- 4. The Chief of Police shall exclusively assess and determine Employee's compliance with this Agreement. The Chief's decision as to compliance with this Agreement shall not be subject to any grievance and/or review of any kind by SALABARRIA and/or the Union and is not subject to explanation or review.

CITY

Page 2 of 7

JESSICA

- 5. Failure to comply with any portion or requirement of this Agreement (including but not limited to the requirement not to violate any City or Police Department policies, Standard Operating Procedures ("SOPs") or Personnel Rules, as referenced in paragraph 3 above) may result in the immediate implementation of the attached letter of resignation as referenced in paragraph 9 below. It is the intent and understanding of the parties that the violations contemplated to trigger the implementation of the attached letter of resignation shall not be for individual, discreet minor policy and procedural violations. The parties agree that repeated violation of the same, discreet minor policy may result in an event triggering the implementation of the attached letter of resignation. In that event, the Employee and the Union understand and agree there will be no recourse or review available pursuant to any grievance, appeal or review process under any federal, state or local statute, ordinance, collective bargaining agreement, or in any other forum or under any other process or procedure.
- 6. SALABARRIA shall serve a four-week (160 hour) suspension without pay and waive any and all rights to grieve or appeal that suspension. Employee shall also be subject to the additional provisions of the Settlement Agreement to which this Agreement is attached and is made part of via incorporation by reference.
- 7. Further, for the same five (5) year period described above, the Chief of Police shall have full discretion regarding Employee's assignments, including, without limitation, duties, supervisor and chain of command. Employee shall have the ability to bid for shift and days off, if the employee is reassigned her duty hours and days off shall remain the same.
- 8. For a period of one (1) year from the date of execution of this Agreement, Employee is not eligible for any promotional opportunities.

CITY

Page 3 of 7

UNION

JESSICA

DocuSign Envelope ID: CC3C604A-8185-4007-95EB-8735D7C89FEF

Employee shall sign an irrevocable letter of resignation which shall take effect

immediately upon her violation of this Agreement or any part of it at any time during the term of

this Last Chance Agreement. .

10. Employee shall attend and cooperate with any training required by the Chief of

Police.

11. If during the above-referenced five (5) year period, SALABARRIA violates any

provisions of this agreement or any City or Police Department policies, Standard Operating

Procedures ("SOPs") or Personnel Rules and/or regulations as previously referenced in

paragraph #4, her resignation shall be effective, without the right to grieve or otherwise contest,

in any manner, her separation. .

12. In the event that SALABARRIA is separated pursuant to the terms and conditions

of this Last Chance Agreement, she and the Union understand that her separation is not subject

to appeal pursuant to the contractual grievance/arbitration procedure, or otherwise. In other

words, SALABARRIA agrees that should she be separated pursuant to the terms of this

agreement that she waives her right to utilize the contractual grievance and arbitration procedure

and she further waives the right to challenge or appeal her separation pursuant to any

administrative or statutory avenue that may exist.

13. The City retains the right to rely upon the facts and circumstances of the events

from which this Last Chance Agreement arose in any future proceeding in the event the

Employee successfully meets the terms and conditions referred to in this Agreement but

thereafter has deficiencies in conduct or performance, and such deficiencies shall be sufficient to

warrant discipline, including dismissal.

CITY

Page 4 of 7

UNION

JESSICA

DocuSign Envelope ID: CC3C604A-8185-4007-95EB-8735D7C89FEF

It is understood and agreed by all parties hereto that this Last Chance Agreement

is executed based on the particular circumstances of this case and does not establish precedent

for the resolution of other cases.

15. SALABARRIA acknowledges that she could be terminated from her employment

from the City as a result of her conduct as referenced above and that remaining employed by the

City is adequate consideration for entering into this Last Chance Agreement, serving the

suspension without pay and waiving the rights described herein and in the Settlement

Agreement.

16. SALABARRIA being of lawful age, for and in consideration of the above-action

agreed to by the City, and other valuable consideration received from or on behalf of the City,

receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, does hereby release, acquit, satisfy and forever

discharge the City, as well as each and everyone of the City's former and current officers, agents,

attorneys, employees and officials -- in both their official and individual capacities -- and their

successors and assigns, from any and all claims, cause and causes of action, grievances, unfair

labor practice charges, lawsuits, claims of employment discrimination (including, but not limited

to claims under the Americans With Disabilities Act), and any and all other claims and demands

whatsoever, in law or in equity, tort or contract, which SALABARRIA has or may have against

the above-named individuals in both their individual and official capacities, from the beginning

of the world until today, including, but not limited to, all matters concerning or arising out of her

employment with the CITY, her discipline stemming from the incidents described in this Last

Chance Agreement and the execution of this Last Chance Agreement.

17. It is understood and agreed that this Last Chance Agreement does not constitute

an admission by the City or SALABARRIA of any violation of the collective bargaining

CITY

Page 5 of 7

UNION

HESSICA

agreement. This Last Chance Agreement is being entered into by the parties solely for the purpose of avoiding the expense and inconvenience of further administrative proceedings.

- 18. SALABARRIA has received and reviewed this Last Chance Agreement prior to executing it and she agrees to be bound by its terms and conditions.
- 19. Prior to signing this Last Chance Agreement, SALABARRIA had the opportunity, and did, in fact, consult with her attorney and/or with the Union.
- 20. This Last Chance Agreement, and the Settlement Agreement which is attached constitutes the entire understanding and agreement of the parties hereto, and can be modified, amended or revoked only by express written consent of all parties.
- 21. This Last Chance Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Florida, and where applicable, federal laws. The language of this Last Chance Agreement shall be construed as a whole, according to its fair meaning, and not strictly construed for or against either party.
- 22. In the event that any party to this Last Chance Agreement institutes legal proceedings regarding the terms of this Last Chance Agreement, it is stipulated and agreed that such a claim shall be heard and determined by the court, and not by a jury, in Miami-Dade County, Florida. SALABARRIA AGREES AND UNDERSTANDS THAT SHE IS WAIVING THE RIGHT TO A JURY TRIAL, IF ONE EXISTS, AS TO ANY CLAIM REGARDING THE TERMS OF THIS AGREEMENT.

CITY

Page 6 of 7

UNION JESSICA

This Agreement is dated the day of De-	cember 2020,	in Miami-Dade County, Florida.
Hoos		Paul J. Aquila
JESSICA SALABARRIA	CITY MAI	NAGER MIAMI BEACH
Date: 12/18/2020	Date:	12/23/2020 1:34 EST
FRATERNAL ORDER OF POLICE		
Signature & Title		
Revin Millan President Print Name & Title		
12/18/2020 Date		
CHIEF OF POLICE		

EXHIBIT B

December 18, 2020

City of Miami Beach Human Resources Department Miami Beach City Hall 1700 Convention Center Drive Miami Beach, FL 33139

Re: Letter of Resignation as Part of Settlement Agreement and Last Chance Agreement

To Whom It May Concern:

Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement and Last Chance Agreement to which this Letter of Resignation is attached, I resign my employment with the City of Miami Beach, effective

Very Truly Yours,

Jessica Salabarria.

EXHIBIT C

MIAMI BE erning a Po	ACH Police Em	OLICE DEPAR ployee - Allegati	TMENT ion of Employee Mi	sconduct
Received By (Employee Name) Lieutenant Steven Cosner		(X) In Person () By Phone () Other		
Location of Occurrence MBPD				
Race/Sex W/M	D.O.B		Telephone #	
Failure to S tements), Pe	upervise, rformanc	Conduct Unbecome of Duties, Failure	ning, Insubordination, e to Monitor Radio	Neglect of Duty,
		3.		
		4.		
	W	itnesses		
Race/Sex	D.O.B	Address		Telephone
W/M W/M W/M		Resident: MBPD Business: 1100 Wash FL 33139	nington Ave., Miami Beach,	
W/M W/M W/M				
		Resident Business		
	Received B Lieutenant Location of MBPD Race/Sex W/M Failure to St tements), Per Race/Sex W/M W/M W/M W/M W/M W/M W/M W/	Received By (Emplo Lieutenant Steven Continue of Occurre MBPD) Race/Sex D.O.B W/M Failure to Supervise, tements), Performance W/M W/M W/M W/M W/M W/M W/M W/	Received By (Employee Name) Lieutenant Steven Cosner Location of Occurrence MBPD Race/Sex W/M Beach, Florida 33 Failure to Supervise, Conduct Unbecontements), Performance of Duties, Failure 3. Witnesses Race/Sex D.O.B Address: 1100 W Beach, Florida 33 A Witnesses Race/Sex D.O.B A W/M W/M W/M Business: 1100 Wash FL 33139 Resident Resident Resident	Lieutenant Steven Cosner Location of Occurrence MBPD Race/Sex W/M Beach, Florida 33139 Failure to Supervise, Conduct Unbecoming, Insubordination, Itements), Performance of Duties, Failure to Monitor Radio 3. 4. Witnesses Race/Sex D.O.B Address W/M

Details of Allegation

On Sunday night, 12/27/2020 at approximately 2200 hours, I advised Sergeant Jessica Salabarria that we needed a Sergeant on overtime for the midnight shift. I told her that she was the next supervisor to be forced to work over since we did not have any volunteers for the position. She was told that she would be assigned to Area 3 and would be working from 0000-0600 hours. She acknowledged the order and within several minutes she provided me with an overtime slip completed by her in which she documented in her own handwriting that she was working in Area 3. She left the sergeant's office shortly thereafter. At approximately 0355 hours, I forwarded an email to Sergeant Salabarria with the details and watch orders that needed to be assigned to the Area 3 officers for completion prior to the end of their shift. I then sent a text message to her cellular phone advising her to check her email at 0359 hours. After a few minutes I did not receive an acknowledgement of the text message, so I tried to call her phone at 0404 hours. Then phone rang repeatedly and went to voicemail. I tried to raise her via the police radio immediately afterwards. The dispatcher raised her multiple times with no response. Sergeant Wilson Romero advised via radio that he would try to call her. He called me at 0411 hours to advise that he could not reach her and that her phone rang through to voicemail. I again tried to have the dispatcher raise her, and after several attempts by name and unit

number, she finally responded. The tone of her voice sounded as if she was just waking up. I spoke with her via the supervisor channel and asked her where she was. She told me that she was "05". I responded by asking if she meant, "05 at the NESS". She said no and that she was at the main station. I asked if she was aware that she was assigned to Area 3 and she answered affirmatively. I then ordered her to respond to Area 3 and to check her email.

I became involved in a vehicle stop along 71 street that resulted in an arrest at 0416. Officer Ocejo was one of the officers who responded as back-up. After the subject was transported, I waited on scene with Officer Ocejo as he waited for a tow truck. I asked Officer Ocejo to check his email to see if the details had been forwarded by Sergeant Salabarria. He told me that he did not have any emails from her. This was at approximately 0520 hours. At 0543 hours, I received an unsolicited text message from Sergeant Salabarria advising that she had emailed me the squad stats and the detail assignments. She claimed that she had told the officers via landline and email of their detail assignments. The email that she sent me was sent at 0536 hours. It included the squad stats and detail assignments. I called Officer Hansel Romero and asked him if he had received any emails, texts, or phone calls from Sergeant Salabarria advising him of detail assignments. He said that he did not and that she had only asked for stats in an email that was sent at 0521 hours. That email was forwarded to me by Officer Ocejo. I began calling all the officers assigned to Area 3 and inquired the same of each of them. Every one of the officers advised that they had not received a call or text advising of the details. Officer Romero then forwarded an email that he received from Sergeant Salabarria at 0542 hours. The email had been sent to each of the Area 3 officers. It was the detail assignments and began with a highlighted line stating, "squad per our conversation please note the below details for our shift". I found this very concerning because it was now the second time that she had claimed to have had a conversation with the officers about their assignments when all six of them claimed that never happened and they did not report to any assigned details during the shift.

I sent an email to Lieutenant Jorge Garcia asking for a Detail Report for Sergeant Salabarria's assigned marked vehicle via the AVL system. My inquiry was for her vehicle movement from 2200 hours on 12/27/2020 through 0600 hours on 12/28/2020. The Detail Report showed that her vehicle had been parked at the station from 2200 hours on 12/27/2020 until 0423 hours on 12/28/2020 which was a few minutes after we spoke on the supervisor channel. For a total of 6 hours and 23 minutes. It is unknown how long the vehicle had been parked prior to that. The report indicated that she left the station and drove directly to 73rd Street and Ocean Terrace where she again parked her vehicle at 0440 hours. The vehicle remained in that position until 0533 hours for a total of 53 minutes. She then left that location and drove directly to the MBPD headquarters.

Note that of the six officers assigned to Area 3 on the shift in question 4 of them have 2 years of experience or less.

Sergeant Salabarria's actions show a willful effort to deceive a supervisor and a failure to obey a direct order from said supervisor. She failed to report to her assigned zone and failed to supervise the officers under her watch. She failed on multiple occasions to respond to the police radio and showed extreme neglect in the performance of her duties.